

ESSA and Personalized Learning: State by State

Table of Contents

States have begun to release their draft plans for the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The policy team at KnowledgeWorks is reviewing these plans and pulling out ideas that align with a shift to a personalized approach to education. This project is a work in progress, so look for more states to be included over the upcoming months. States linked in the Table of Contents have released their ESSA plans publicly and are included in this document. They included personalized learning ideas in one or more of the following categories: Vision, Long Term Goals, Accountability, Assessments, Support/Improvement for Schools, Supporting Excellent Educators, Supporting All Students.

Alabama	Kentucky	North Dakota
Alaska	Louisiana	Ohio
Arizona	Maine	Oklahoma
Arkansas	Maryland	Oregon
California	Massachusetts	Pennsylvania
Colorado	Michigan	Rhode Island
Connecticut	Minnesota	South Carolina
Delaware	Mississippi	South Dakota
District of Columbia	Missouri	Tennessee
Florida	Montana	Texas
Georgia	Nebraska	Utah
Hawaii	Nevada	Vermont
Idaho	New Hampshire	Virginia
Illinois	New Jersey	Washington
Indiana	New Mexico	West Virginia
Iowa	New York	Wisconsin
Kansas	North Carolina	Wyoming



Alaska: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Alaska has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long term Goals

In addition to the state's long-term goals concerning academic achievement, academic proficiency, English language proficiency, and four-year adjusted cohort graduation rates, Alaska proposes setting an extended graduation rate goal for five-year adjusted cohort graduation rates. Alaska proposes a long-term goal of 93% for its five-year adjusted cohort graduation rate achieved by 2026-27.

Accountability

For academic proficiency, Alaska will assign schools points based on five performance levels where schools earn the greatest number of points if they have met or exceeded the long-term academic achievement goal of 75%. The percentage of students achieving at the proficient or advanced levels will also be calculated for each subgroup. Schools will receive additional points for subgroups that also achieve in the same range as the all-students group in a school.

Alaska is also exploring how district-specific interim assessments might be used as another academic indicator. If using district-specific interim assessments as an academic indicator is not immediately feasible, Alaska is also considering the use of district-specific interim assessments as an indicator of school quality and/or student success.

Alaska proposes to measure both the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate and the five-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for the all students group and for all subgroups present in a school. Schools will earn points based on five achievement levels of the four-year graduation rate, with the greatest number of points being earned for a 4-year graduation rate that meets or exceeds the long-term goal of 90%. Additional points will be earned based on five achievement levels for a 5-year rate, with the greatest points earned for a rate that meets or exceeds the long-term goal of 93%. Schools will receive additional points for subgroups present in the school that meet the measure of interim progress for the 4-year or 5-year graduation rate.

Alaska is still considering options for the school quality or student success indicators. It is expected that this indicator will vary by grade span and that districts may be able to select from a menu of indicators. The state is exploring indicators such as chronic absenteeism, data on freshman on-track credit accumulation, and access to well-rounded curriculum (art, music, career-and-technology courses, Advanced Placement courses, and more).

Supporting Excellent Educators

Alaska will use Title II, Part A funds this next year to continue State-level activities that are in progress. These activities include an online professional development network, programs to recognize excellent teachers, increasing knowledge and usage of the Professional Development definition and the Professional Learning Standards from Learning Forward.

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development (DEED) is also exploring providing awareness of the recently revised national Library and Technology standards, and considering micro-credentials (badges) for recertification and University credit.

DEED is currently using Title II, Part A funds to support a project to develop an online professional development network that allows teacher teams to support personalized professional learning. Learning paths for both the English Language Arts and Mathematics have been developed using open-source videos with interactive and discussion activities. Using this online environment, the project partner has enhanced online courses and extended the learning from statewide conferences. Creating additional learning paths on effectively integrating technology, digital literacy, identifying and meeting students with specific learning needs will be explored during the next year of this project.

Supported by Title II, Part A funds, DEED will continue to assist with the dissemination of the lessons learned from a state-funded initiative that focused on the delivery of high-quality, interactive blended learning models. This project focused on removing barriers, providing specific technology enhancements, and strengthening and enhancing current technology-based instructional programs.

DEED will reserve the 3% of district Title IIA funds to support Principals and other school leaders based on results of the Alaska's Education Challenge and State Board of Education strategic priorities.

Supporting All Students

The state will expand its distance-delivered eLearning Program. This program currently offers 50 online courses to more than 16,000 users and employs state-of-the-art technology to deliver timely and cost effective asynchronous educational training to teachers, other district personnel, school service providers, and parents. This system delivers educator and other education stakeholder training on many health, safety, and educational topics that play a vital role in improving academic achievement.

Learn More

Find more information on Alaska's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, <https://education.alaska.gov/akessa/>.

Arizona: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Long Term Goals

The long-term goals for academic achievement focus on student growth as well as student proficiency on state-wide assessments for English language arts and mathematics. Since the state-wide assessment is given every year, from the third grade to the junior year, long-term goals and measures of interim progress (MIPs) have been created for every tested grade level. In addition to long-term goals for all students and each subgroup of students, Arizona also created additional subgroups titled Algebra 1 Prior to High School, Geometry Prior to High School, and Algebra 2 Prior to High School to better track the exceptional work that districts are doing with advanced learners and to recognize their efforts in this area.

Academic Assessments

Arizona's Academic Standards for Mathematics are designed in a manner that allows any student to access advanced coursework. Therefore, eighth grade students have the opportunity to take the high school end-of-course mathematics assessment as soon as they complete the advanced mathematics course.

- Specific "Plus" Standards have been identified allowing teachers to extend content for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.
- All have the opportunity to offer advanced coursework to students. A student may begin taking Algebra I prior to high school.
- 2016-2017: For accountability, schools receive credit for their scores in both proficiency and acceleration/readiness category.
- 2017-2018: For accountability, students in Grade 8 who take high school end-of-course (EOC) Math will be able to be counted in accountability calculations.

Accountability

The state's proposed accountability system includes the following indicators: Academic Achievement; Academic Progress; 4-,5-,6-, and 7-year Graduation Rates; Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency; School Quality/Student Success which includes a K-8 acceleration/readiness indicator for elementary and middle schools (a menu approach which includes increasing the percentage of students in grades 5-8 accelerating in end of course math, decreasing 3rd grade minimally proficient, subgroup improvement, and special education inclusion in the general education classroom, and chronic absenteeism) and a College and Career Readiness Rubric (CCRI) for high schools where students receive regular and bonus points for completion of advanced coursework or career-readiness benchmarks (Rubric activities, which receive differing weights, include earning a Grand Canyon or IB Diploma; completing a CTE sequence and completing the Arizona Technical Skills Assessment; meeting ACT or SAT cut scores; meeting AP cut scores; completing the FAFSA; earns a C or higher in a dual or concurrent enrollment course; meets the benchmark for ASVAB; meets the Benchmark for ACT Workkeys; meets the cutscore on any nationally recognized college entrance

examination used by AZ postsecondary institutions; meets cutscore on CLEP or any IB exam; earns an industry-recognized credential; completes well-defined work-based learning of at least 120 hours; and meets all 16 Arizona Board of Regents program of study requirements).

There is a relative balance between achievement and growth at both the elementary level (30% proficiency and 50% growth) and high school (30% proficiency and 20% growth). The K-8 Readiness/Acceleration indicator is weighted at 10% while the high school level College and Career Readiness Rubric (CCRI) is weighted at 20%.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Arizona's Department of Education will provide "ongoing/embedded professional learning for teachers and leaders." There are professional development offerings around the use of data, technology, and multiple pathways for new leaders.

Supporting All Students

School districts will use a Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) framework that incorporates Universal Design for Learning (UDL) strategies for instruction, as appropriate. "Instruction will be provided using within-class groups whenever feasible. Students will move between within-class groups based on the student's response to instruction and intervention as well as in-class assessment results. Intervention strategies will be aligned directly to student need and time in intervention will vary to meet those needs." The state plan emphasizes multiple pathway options for students including Career and Technical Education (CTE) program options, health and wellness programs, advanced and accelerated learning options such as advanced placement programs and gifted education programs, arts and music programs, athletics and physical education programs, and educational technology options and supports. The plan also calls for supporting access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology.

Learn More

Find more information on Arizona's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.azed.gov/essa/home/ade-essa-timeline/>.

Arkansas: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Arkansas has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

The Arkansas Department of Education's (ADE) vision is to transform Arkansas "to lead the nation in student-focused education so that every student graduates ready for college, career, and community engagement. The vision has five specific goals. The first four are student-focused. The fifth goal sets the tone for the leadership, support, and service the ADE will provide to LEA's through development of the personnel within the agency." The goals are as follows:

1. Prepared Graduates – Each student will meet or exceed education milestones along pathways to graduate prepared for college, career, and community engagement.
2. Student Growth – Each student will meet or exceed his/her expected individual growth annually.
3. Personal Competencies – Each student will develop personal competencies that foster learning, community engagement, and success in life.
4. Student Success – Each student will be actively engaged in college, career preparation, military service, and/or competitive employment one year after graduation.
5. Customer Service – The ADE will build the capacity of each team member to provide efficient and effective customer service.

Long Term Goals

In addition to academic proficiency and the 4-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate, Arkansas proposes to include a 5-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate long term goal of 97% within 12 years.

Accountability

Arkansas will redesign its accountability system to provide an annual School Performance Rating, which will include summaries of performance on the following indicators: achievement, growth, graduation rate, English learner progress, and School Quality/Student Success indicators for each grade span. While the School Performance Rating does provide an annual snapshot of performance on each indicator, it is designed to emphasize continuous improvement by being a reference point for schools to measure progress towards 3-year checkpoints for accountability purposes.

To incorporate academic achievement into its annual meaningful differentiation of schools, Arkansas will use a weighted achievement calculation to assign different point values to each of the four achievement levels on Arkansas' grade level assessments for math and ELA, aggregating those points at the school level for all students and for each student group, and calculating the proportion of points earned by a school based on the number of students tested. Using an achievement scale ranging from Level 1 (In Need of Support) to Level 4 (Exceeding), schools earn 0 points for students scoring at Level 1, 0.5 points for each student at Level 2, 1

point for each student at Level 3, and 1 point for each student at Level 4. However, schools earn extra points by subtracting the number of Level 1 students from the number of Level 4 students and adding an additional 0.25 points for each Level 4 student in excess of the number of Level 1 students.

For School Quality/Student Success indicators, Arkansas proposes to immediately measure attendance, chronic absenteeism as a proxy for student engagement, % of students obtaining at least one credit in AP/IB/Concurrent Credit. Arkansas will also explore access to student-focused learning systems (personalized, competency-based), among other measurements in this indicator in the future; however, those measurements will take more time to formalize and measure.

The ADE is currently considering two different options for assigning weights to each of the five primary indicators. In both options, the indicator of growth is weighted most heavily among all indicators, ranging from 45-50%. Achievement is the next most heavily weighted in both options, ranging between 30-35% of the total score. The other indicators (English learner progress, 4- and 5-year graduation rate, and 15-20% School Quality/Student Success) make up the remaining 15-25% of the total calculation.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Arkansas has a multi-tiered system of support for educators through its Teacher Excellence and Support System (TESS) and Leader Excellence and Development Systems (LEADS). Within TESS and LEADS, Arkansas educators have quality standards for teaching and leading as well as access to data-driven professional growth plans that are used for evaluative purposes as well as professional development.

Arkansas's Theory of Action includes transforming to a system of competency-based, personalized mentoring and professional learning for teachers. Arkansas will expand its mentoring system to create ongoing, personalized learning opportunities that will provide access to competency-based, personalized learning tools through micro-credentials. The digital badges issued upon attainment of micro-credentials will signify skill attainment of educators based on specific growth areas identified in professional growth plans. The state will further encourage advanced licensure opportunities by incentivizing districts to align compensation to advanced licensure.

Competency-based, personalized professional development (PD) will be available for both teachers and leaders. The Educational Service Cooperatives will provide direct support to teachers through face-to-face meetings, virtual options, and micro-credentialing support. The state's administrator association will support beginning administrators by providing first year mentoring and access to the Arkansas Leadership Quest program, which will also offer micro-credentialing opportunities. The state will develop an online platform wherein teachers and leaders can get micro-credentialed and the state can standardize the process and review credentials.

Supporting All Students

Arkansas intends to use Title IV, Part A funds to provide dual enrollment and AP credit opportunities, as well as opportunities for participation in the Schools of Innovation program, among other opportunities, which gives districts flexibility from many rules and regulations and incentivizes new school models designed for 21st century needs.

Learn More

Find more information on Arkansas' ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.arkansased.gov/divisions/public-school-accountability/every-student-succeeds-act-essa>.

California: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of California has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

The state's vision under ESSA is encompassed in what the California Department of Education (CDE) calls "The California Way." The California Way rests on the belief that educators want to excel, trusts them to improve when given the proper supports, and provides local schools and districts with the leeway and flexibility to deploy resources so they can improve. The California Way engages students, parents, and communities as part of a collaborative decision-making process around how to fund and implement these improvement efforts, and provides supplemental resources to ensure that California's English learners (ELs), foster youths, and students in poverty have the learning supports they need.

As part of its vision, the state has renewed its focus on the whole child, including social and emotional needs and school climate. California also recognizes the importance of school readiness and will work to integrate early learning into a clearly articulated P–12 system. The new standards and assessment system, with its focus on critical thinking, authentic learning experiences, and formative assessment, are a further example of California's shift to local control; the state is steadily moving to a system of teaching and learning that encourages engagement and responsive instruction to support learning and improved outcomes across a broad spectrum of measures.

Long Term Goals

In addition to the long-term goals the state will establish around academic achievement, academic growth, English language proficiency, four-year adjusted cohort graduation rates, and other school quality indicators, California proposes to set goals around an extended graduation rate.

Accountability

California has developed a multiple measures accountability system that uses percentiles to create a five-by-five grid. This five-by-five grid provides 25 results that combine "Status" and "Change" to make an overall determination for each of the indicators. The accountability system provides equal weight to both "Status" and "Change." "Status" is determined using the current year performance and "Change" is the difference between performance from the current year and the prior year. To determine the percentile cut scores for "Status," LEAs and schools were ordered from highest to lowest and four cut points were selected based on the distribution. These cut points created five "Status" levels: Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low. For "Change" cut scores, LEAs and schools were ordered separately from highest to lowest for positive change and lowest to highest for negative change. These cut points created five "Change" levels: Increased significantly, Increased, Maintained, Declined, and Declined significantly. By combining the results of both "Status" and "Change," a "Performance Level" can be assigned one of the following colors for each indicator: Blue, Green, Yellow, Orange, and Red.

California is in the process of incorporating the five-year cohort graduation rate into the accountability system and anticipates incorporating the five-year cohort data into the accountability system for the fall 2018 release.

California also plans to include a Career/College Indicator (CCI). For high schools, the grade 11 state assessment results are incorporated into the CCI. To ensure transparency, grade eleven state assessment results are also reported separately as the average distance from the lowest scale score associated with Level 3 (Standard Met) by schools and LEAs. The CCI is designed to include multiple measures in order to value the multiple pathways that students may take to prepare for postsecondary. The CCI currently has three levels (Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Not Prepared) and is designed to allow new measures to be added when they become available. To determine how well schools have prepared students for postsecondary, the CCI evaluates all students in the four-year graduation cohort.

The following measures might be included (subject to SBE approval) in the fall 2017–18 release of the CCI:

- Grade 11 state results in ELA and mathematics
- a-g Completion
- Dual Enrollment
- Advanced Placement (AP) exam
- International Baccalaureate (IB) exam
- CTE pathway completion

Support / Improvement for Schools

The State is also working to give more students access to career pathways through funds that support districts in expanding, improving, or establishing new career technical education programs. (p. 5 "Title I, Part A")

Supporting All Students

The state is working to promote and expand student access to career pathways in the 15 Industry Sectors. For three years (2015–16, 2016–17, and 2017–18) the CDE has allocated \$900 million to provide incentive funds to districts to expand and improve career technical educational (CTE) programs or in some cases to establish new programs.

Learn More

Find more information on California's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/es/draftplantoolkit.asp>.

Colorado: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Academic Assessments

LEAs make the decisions around middle school students pursuing advanced coursework. Eighth graders are able to take high school math assessments, but lower grades need to seek a waiver

Accountability

Colorado's state accountability system will include a measure of academic progress in addition to proficiency which will be calculated using median student growth percentiles that compare a student's observed progress to his or her peers. Colorado also proposes to include 4-, 5-, 6-, and 7-year graduation rates.

The state will continue to investigate the following measures/metrics for possible inclusion in the long-term:

- For climate, indicators being considered are school safety, parent, student and educator satisfaction, and/or other engagement.
- For postsecondary and workforce readiness, the possible development of workforce readiness specific indicators, such as completion of advanced coursework, students graduating with college credit and/or industry credential, and/or post-graduation employment will be investigated.
- For social-emotional learning measures, discussion time is required for defining possible indicators and determining what may be appropriate for inclusion for state accountability.

The state is planning to include information about a student's initial language proficiency status to determine the timeline in which the student is expected to attain English fluency.

Support / Improvement for Schools

School improvement funds will be distributed to LEAs based on a variety of factors, including as a way to incentivize innovative and bold ideas.

Supporting Excellent Educators

The state will provide in-person and virtual PD for LEAs, principals, and teachers in culturally responsive instruction, whole child supports, effective practices for developing teacher cadet programs, effective inclusion models, and developmentally appropriate practices for K-3. It has also developed a web-based PD system for the early childhood workforce: Professional Development Information System.

Supporting All Students

The state is committed to well-rounded education for all students, meaning that decision making involving budget allocations, resource development, and training opportunities will address all subject areas.

Children and youth who are neglected, delinquent, or at-risk benefit from project based learning experiences.

For migrant children, partnerships between organizations work together to create a system of support for children from birth through the first year of college.

21st Century Community Learning Center grants are awarded based on a handful of priorities, including applicants who develop performance indicators and measures that emphasize alignment with a student's academic program. These include tracking success and improvement over time, including indicators of career competencies demonstrated by completion of internships or work-based learning experiences for high school students, as well as assessment results or other indicators of student success and improvement such as increased school day attendance, better grades, consistent attendance, and on-time grade level advancement.

By using Title funds to support CTE, Colorado is able to better align ESSA goals with the Workforce Investment Opportunities Act state plan. This includes:

- Engaging business and industry to provide work-based learning opportunities for K-12 students and adult education learners in support of college and career readiness;
- Providing a starting point for system-wide metrics, which includes K-12, adult education, higher education, and workforce;
- Expanding regionally focused sector partnerships that are championed by business and industry to drive career pathways in partnership with education;
- Designing and disseminating multiple career pathways that enable Coloradans to have a clear roadmap for success;
- Creating work-based learning opportunities to provide students and working learners exposure to the workplace, where they can not only utilize the knowledge and skills they are developing or have previously developed in the classroom but also develop employability skills;
- Supporting collaboration between businesses and education to understand the value of industry-recognized credentials; and
- Utilizing partner relationships to implement meaningful pilot programs to foster an environment of innovation.

Funds will be used to support LEAs to effectively use technology to improve student achievement and digital literacy.

Learn More

Find more information on Colorado's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at https://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/essa_stateplandevelopment.

Connecticut: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Long Term Goals

In response to strong stakeholder input favoring academic student growth over status achievement for accountability, the Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) will utilize the results from its Smarter Balanced matched student cohort growth model as the measure for this long-term goal. New students enter the public education system in all grades every year. Therefore, it is most appropriate for an academic goal of an education system to expect that all students, regardless of their starting point, will make adequate academic growth during the school year. The state's academic growth model establishes individual student growth targets for students in grades 4 through 8. The metric that will be used is the average percentage of growth target that is achieved by all students in grades 4 through 8 combined. This plan establishes a 13-year timeframe because that aligns with the time required for one full cohort of students to progress through the public education system from kindergarten in 2017-18 to grade 12 in 2029-30. The ultimate target for this indicator for all students and all subgroups is an average percentage of target achieved of 100. Linear interim targets will be established for every third year after the first year.

Accountability

Connecticut is proposing to include 12 indicators in its accountability system, some of which would provide useful data for implementation of personalized learning models. These include:

1. Academic Achievement.
2. Academic growth - which will carry significant weight at almost one third of the 12 indicators.
3. Participation rate on state assessments.
4. Chronic absenteeism.
5. Preparation for Postsecondary and Career Readiness Coursework - This indicator is the percentage of students in grades 11 and 12 who participate in at least one of the following during high school: two courses in advanced placement (AP)/ international baccalaureate (IB)/dual enrollment; two courses in one of 17 career and technical education (CTE) categories; or two workplace experience "courses." The ultimate target is 75 percent.
6. Preparation for Postsecondary and Career Readiness Exams - This indicator is the percentage of students in grades 11 and 12 who attained benchmark scores on at least one college/career readiness exam (e.g., SAT, ACT, AP, IB). The ultimate target is 75 percent.
7. Graduation, On Track in 9th Grade - This is the percentage of ninth graders earning at least five full-year credits in the year. It applies to middle schools (with eighth grade) and high schools.
8. Four Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate.

9. Six Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate.
10. Postsecondary Entrance - This indicator is the percentage of the graduating class that enrolled in a two- or four-year postsecondary institution any time during the first year after high school graduation. The ultimate target is 75 percent.
11. Physical Fitness - This indicator is the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the “Health Fitness Zone Standard” in all four areas of the Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment. This assessment (like FitnessGram) includes tests that assess muscular strength and endurance, flexibility, and cardiovascular fitness. It is administered to all students in grades 4, 6, 8, and once in high school. Criterion-referenced standards are used. Multipliers are applied if participation rates are between 70 percent and 90 percent (0.5) or 50 percent and 70 percent (0.25). The ultimate target is 75 percent.
12. Arts Access - This indicator is an “access” metric that evaluates the extent to which students in high school participate in at least one arts course. It is the percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 participating in at least one dance, theater, music, or visual arts course in the school year. The ultimate target is 60 percent.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Connecticut State Department of Education is in the process of revising the state's certification system and processes to increase flexibility, remove barriers, and expand career pathways to increase the current pool of certified and qualified educators. This includes developing a tiered Computer Science certification to better meet the needs of PK-12 students living and working in a digital world.

Supporting All Students

The state intends to use ESSA's focus on well-rounded education opportunities to improve access to high quality educational opportunities by addressing the academic and non-academic needs of students and students within subgroups. These opportunities may include: preschool programming; advanced coursework; science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics (STEM/STEAM) programming; physical education; career and technology education; 21st century skills; competency-based learning; as well as personalized learning. The Connecticut State Department of Education will also assist districts in building new career and technical education courses/pathways, developing mastery-based learning systems that embrace earning credits based on mastery of standards and increasing participation in work-based learning opportunities.

Learn More

Find more information on Connecticut's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2683&Q=336396>.

Delaware: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Vision

Vision: Every learner ready for success in college, career and life.

Mission: To empower every learner with the highest quality education through shared leadership, innovative practices and exemplary services.

Priorities: Engaged and informed families, schools, districts, communities, and other agencies; rigorous standards, instruction, and assessments; high-quality early learning opportunities; equitable access to excellent educators; safe and healthy environments conducive to learning.

Long term Goals

The state will continue to calculate and report four-, five-, and six-year adjusted cohort graduation rates. The state's long term goal for graduation is that by 2030, 92.15% of students will graduate in four years, 92.9% will graduate in five years, and 92.99% will graduate in six years.

The state will implement a growth-to-target model with an indicator index and label that allows for partial credit to be awarded toward growth goals.

EL growth targets are based on proficiency at the beginning of the school year and set the number of years a student has to achieve proficiency. These numbers reset at the beginning of each year to recognize that some students may progress faster than others and not need the full amount of time.

Accountability

The state's accountability system will track academic progress using a measure of student growth to proficiency as well as student growth in the lowest and highest quartiles. The accountability framework also includes a measure of whether students are on-track in the 9th grade. The state will also administer the PSAT in 9th and 10th grades to provide a more accurate measure of growth in high school (after a review of technical quality, so it will not be included in the 2017-2018 school year). Accountability metrics will also include a measure of chronic absenteeism and college and/or career readiness. The state will incorporate four-, five-, and six-year adjusted cohort graduation rates.

Delaware will include an emphasis on college and career readiness in its accountability system covering multiple options, including performance on postsecondary coursework including AP (3 or better), IB (4 or better), postsecondary credit attainment with a B or higher outside of a program of study, and SAT benchmarks. The state will also include career preparation such as completion of a work-based learning extension or attainment of a state-approved industry credential.

Measures that will be reported but not included in the accountability framework calculation are suspensions and expulsions, student/teacher/parent surveys, education and administrator retention, class size, specialist to student ratio, equitable access to effective teachers, postsecondary outcomes, and rate of ELP attainment.

The state is exploring measures of school quality and student success including those that measure social-emotional learning, access to a well-rounded curriculum (including the arts, technology, world languages, STEM/STEAM, modern resources, advanced coursework, physical education, and electives), and results on student/teacher/parent surveys.

Academic Progress is weighted at 30% (overall growth, growth to proficiency, growth of lowest and highest quartiles) while Academic Achievement is weighted at 25%. School quality/student success is worth 25%, graduation rate is worth 10%, and progress towards ELP is 10%.

Data for each indicator will be disaggregated and reported at the school and LEA levels, but the data will be aggregated for a numeric score for each indicator, and the indicator will be combined for a summative index score for the school.

Support / Improvement for Schools

The state will respond to strong feedback from stakeholders to support low-performing schools with technical assistance and identification of evidence-based practices in social and emotional learning, providing access to wraparound services, and developing a positive school climate.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Delaware's Schoology Learning Management System is integrated with the DDOE's Professional Development Management System (PDMS) for registration and tracking purposes to provide online professional development, mandatory trainings, and technical assistance to the state's educators. eLearning Delaware offers a variety of online trainings for educators through both facilitator-led and on-demand, self-paced courses. The state also has a micro-credentials' pilot with Appoquinimink and Indian River School Districts.

The Reimagining Professional Learning Grants support the improved quality and efficacy of professional learning. They are awarded based on integration of DDOE's professional learning standards and incorporate innovative, rigorous professional learning models to strengthen teaching and learning in ELA, math, and literacy.

Strategies to better support all students include training and credentialing for new teachers to help work with students across needs: low-income students, students with disabilities, EL students, students in foster care, homeless students, students who have experienced trauma, etc. There will also be trainings and supports to better understand children from a variety of backgrounds, with different individual needs, and different cultural ways of learning.

Delaware will offer micro-credentials to educators. They are competency-based, personalized, available on-demand. This will provide a potential path for educators to earn hours towards relicensure.

Supporting All Students

The state will support successful postsecondary transition for at-risk student populations by increasing participation and success in college-level courses including strategies such as partnering with colleges to provide increased access to dual enrollment courses through reduced tuition and transparent admission standards, and reporting high school and district participation and success rates by subgroup in college-level courses.

The state will scale and sustain meaningful work-based learning experiences for students in grades 7-14.

The state will address postsecondary remediation rates by developing high school intervention models to support students indicating need for remediation and reporting high school and district college remediation rates by subgroup.

There's an emphasis on the early childhood to elementary school transition that includes technical assistance in engaging families and providing access to supports around social, health, nutrition, and mental services. The Delaware Department of Education also seeks to increase the understanding of developmental needs of children at all ages.

The State Migrant Education Program provides individualized supports to migrant students. An assessment determines whether these students are Priority for Service (PFS), and these students receive a home-based tutoring program that operates throughout the year in collaboration with LEAs. This is a triangulated home, school, and tutor approach.

DDOE and LEAs will work together to come up with technical assistance and professional learning supports for students to have wider access to a well-rounded curriculum. This includes meeting students physical and mental needs beyond academic curriculum.

The Student and School Supports Discussion Group has recommendations for where DDOE can support parent, family, and community engagement with the goal of better meeting individual students' needs.

Learn More

Find more information on Delaware's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.doe.k12.de.us/ESSA>.

District of Columbia: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Long Term Goals

The District of Columbia will include four and five year adjusted cohort graduation rates in its long term goals, setting a 90% four year graduation rate and a 95% five year graduation rate for all students by 2039.

Academic Assessments

Seventh and eighth graders will take high school end-of-course Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) math assessments if they were enrolled in the class.

Accountability

The District of Columbia proposes to include two growth measures in its accountability system - median growth percentiles and growth to proficiency. These will only apply at the elementary and middle school level and will carry greater weight than proficiency - at 40% and 30% respectively.

At the high school level, proficiency will carry a weight of 50% but the indicator will take into account achievement on the state assessment, ACT/SAT DC Percentile Threshold and the College Ready Benchmark, as well as AP/IB participation and performance. High schools will also be held accountable for a 4-year and an alternate graduation rate which includes all students who graduate in a given year regardless of time frame.

The district will include a school environment indicator for all levels that consists of chronic absenteeism, in-seat attendance, re-enrollment, and access and opportunities. The access and opportunities measure will be designed to promote well-rounded experiences for students in engaging learning environments. Given that there are multiple ways to demonstrate a well-rounded education, this measure will also seek to provide multiple options for schools to highlight results in this area. This measure will be piloted in the 2018-19 school year, and used in formal accountability results for the 2019-20 school year.

An accountability governance structure will be created to consider new measures and assess reliability and validity of new measures to the accountability system.

Supporting All Students

The District of Columbia has several initiatives to provide students with multiple pathways to graduation including:

- The District of Columbia allows for 360 students to dual enroll at George Washington University, Howard University, and University of the District of Columbia and provides funds for books, fees, transportation, and tuition at University of the District of Columbia.

- Well-rounded credit requirements - To earn a regular diploma, students need credits in a variety of subjects: English, math, science, social studies, world language, art, music, health, and physical education.
- Competency-based learning - Districts can also use competency-based education instead of the standard seat-time graduation requirements.
- Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) education – the District of Columbia has STEM programming with partners to provide equitable access to STEM education throughout the city, including in and out of school time.

Learn More

Find more information on District of Columbia's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://osse.dc.gov/essa>.

Georgia: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Georgia has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long Term Goals

In addition to academic proficiency and the 4-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate, Georgia proposes to include a long term goal for the 5-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate. This long term goal is to close the gap between baseline performance on the extended graduation rate and 100% of expected performance by 45% over a period of 15 years. This represents an annual 3% improvement target towards expected performance. Annual targets will be set for each school, ensuring that a school's starting point is taken into consideration.

Academic Assessments

Georgia is committed to providing accelerated learning opportunities for all students. The state provides a variety of advanced academic and career pathway courses that strengthen student readiness for college, careers, and life. Opportunities for advanced coursework are offered to middle school students, primarily but not exclusively, in the content area of mathematics. The state plans to exempt an eighth-grade student who takes the high school mathematics course associated with the end-of-course (EOC) assessment from the mathematics assessment typically administered in eighth grade.

Georgia has suggested acceleration models available to all districts, but districts have flexibility in choosing how best to accelerate coursework. Districts electing to use the state's suggested acceleration model will begin gradual acceleration in grade 6, resulting in grade 8 students completing high school coursework. Other districts may choose to simply embed grade 8 content into high school coursework resulting in the passage of an appropriate high school EOC assessment.

Such advanced opportunities are also available to all students throughout Georgia via the Georgia Virtual School (GAVS), a supplemental online instructional program featuring 27 AP courses.

Georgia also offers flexibility in the area of science. Middle school students are able to take high school Biology and Physical Science. Georgia will assess those students on the appropriate high school level EOC instead of the usual End-of-Grade (EOG) exam for 8th grade science.

Georgia will also offer middle school students the opportunity to take high school ELA coursework in the areas of 9th grade Literature and Composition. Accordingly, accelerated students will be assessed on the appropriate EOC exam.

Students who complete core requirements are eligible to complete more Advanced Placement courses as well as enroll in Georgia's highly successful dual-enrollment program, Move on When Ready (MOWR). Currently, these students earn both high school and postsecondary credit at no cost; the state pays tuition for all MOWR high school students.

Accountability

The College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI) is the state's annual accountability report, which is reported on a 0-100 scale. Concerning achievement scores, districts will earn partial credit for partial proficiency and extra credit for above proficiency. Beginning Learners earn 0 points, Developing Learners earn 0.5 points, Proficient Learners earn 1.0 point, and Distinguished Learners earn 1.5 points. The state will use a similar approach for its academic growth indicator, its progress on achieving English Language Proficiency indicator, and its indicator to measure closure of achievement gaps. The academic growth indicator, for example, would assign 0 points for Student Growth Percentiles (SGP) that fall between 1 and 29, .5 point for between 30 and 40, 1 point for between 41 and 65, and 1.5 points for between 66 and 99.

The state will also adopt a Readiness indicator to encourage a more holistic approach of educating "the whole child" and ensuring all students are prepared for success both in school and beyond. The Readiness indicator emphasizes literacy, attendance, and credit earned on a well-rounded curriculum, as well as the following indicators for high school:

- Percent of graduates earning credit for accelerated enrollment via Move On When Ready (dual enrollment), Advanced Placement, or International Baccalaureate courses; Percent of graduates completing an advanced academic, CTAE, fine arts, or world language pathway;
- Percent of graduates entering the Technical College System of Georgia and the University System of Georgia without needing remediation; achieving a readiness score on the ACT, SAT, two or more AP exams, two or more IB exams; passing a pathway-aligned end of pathway assessment (EOPA) resulting in a national or state credential; or completing a work-based learning experience.

The readiness indicator accounts for 20% of the total CCRPI score in elementary and middle school and 15% in high school.

Support / Improvement for Schools

Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE)'s Consolidated Funds Pilot, which will allow schools more flexibility on how to leverage and use federal funds, empowers districts to position federal funds around school improvement goals and priorities. Currently, the GaDOE is working with several LEAs on this initiative to fully consolidate federal, state, and local funds in specific Title I schools that operate school-wide programs, offering them maximum flexibility to position funds around identified improvement goals. (p.40-41)

Supporting Excellent Educators

The GaDOE Teacher and Leader Support and Development team (TLSD) facilitates the statewide educator evaluation systems that provide real-time data to inform the professional learning of teachers and school leaders.

TLSD provides face-to-face training and online professional learning resources for evaluators designed to increase the accuracy of performance evaluation and specific targeted feedback

directly related to classroom and school practice standards, currently the Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS) and Leader Assessment on Performance Standards (LAPS). Online personalized professional learning opportunities aligned to challenges identified through the evaluation process are available to all educators through a performance management and professional learning platform and may be self-selected or assigned as deemed appropriate by evaluators.

GaDOE will collect and compile effectiveness data as well as other identified data elements to provide focus and direction for LEA efforts to improve the educator workforce. This data will inform the development of training and resources to support mentoring and coaching across the career continuum. Georgia will develop professional learning resources that support mentors and coaches and their protégés.

To recognize the various stages of professional advancement, Georgia also offers four different tiers of educator certification.

Supporting All Students

Career, Technical, and Agricultural Education (CTAE)'s Career Pathways and Educating Georgia's Future Workforce Initiatives

The Georgia Department of Education's Career, Technical, and Agricultural Education (CTAE) team assists LEAs as they prepare Georgia's students for their next step after high school - college, beginning a career, registered apprenticeships, or the military. Georgia CTAE pathway course offerings, and the new Educating Georgia's Future Workforce initiative, leverage partnerships with industry and higher education to ensure students have the skills they need to thrive in the future workforce. CTAE offers students more than 130 career pathways within the 17 Georgia Career Clusters. Also, GaDOE's Development and Transition unit of the CTAE division provides direction in the development of the CTAE high school and middle school curricula, assessment, work-based learning experiences, professional learning, and instructional resources to enhance student achievement.

Move On When Ready (MOWR)

In addition, the CTAE division promotes successful transitioning of students from middle school to high school and from high school to college and careers, including promotion of postsecondary credit while still in high school. Move On When Ready (MOWR) is a program available throughout the state for students at eligible Georgia high schools that wish to take college level coursework for credit towards both high school and college graduation requirements.

Counselor Companion Tool

The Georgia Department of Education's Career Development Initiative provides the necessary tools, knowledge, and resources for systematic, developmental, and comprehensive career planning for all students in grades K-12. The Georgia Department of Education is currently

developing a virtual Counselor Companion tool that will support students, parents, and school counselors in planning for middle school, high school, and postsecondary success.

Career Coaches

The Georgia Department of Education is currently conducting a Career Coach pilot in Rockdale County schools. Career Coaches support the school's counseling and advisement program by helping all students identify their interests, aptitudes, and skills which help guide these students in planning and navigating their path toward their future career goal. Education and career opportunities range from apprenticeships and industry credentialing for job readiness to careers requiring formal education beyond high school, and Career Coaches help students make these connections. Career Coaches are trained to administer various career-related assessments. These assessments help students determine areas of interest in careers as well as the abilities and skills needed to pursue these careers. In addition, Career Coaches share and support other programs such as Move On When Ready and Work Based Learning, which provide opportunities for students to earn college credit and high school credit simultaneously, as well as broadening their knowledge of career choices and the training needed for each. Career Coaches also help students to identify high demand career areas in the state and how their own personal education and training impact workforce and economic development. Finally, Career Coaches work collaboratively with high school counselors, Career, Technical and Agricultural Education (CTAE) staff, and Work-Based Learning coordinators to provide events for college and career exploration with activities, such as assisting students and parents in completing Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) forms and coordinating career and college fairs, job shadowing, mock interviews, employability skills, training workshops, and business visits.

Learn More

Find more information on Georgia's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.gadoe.org/External-Affairs-and-Policy/communications/Pages/ESSA.aspx>.

Hawaii: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Hawaii has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

Hawaii's students are educated, healthy, and joyful lifelong learners who contribute positively to our community and global society.

Hawaii's schools serve the community by developing the academic achievement, character, and social-emotional well-being of their students to the fullest potential. They work with partners, families, and communities to ensure that all students reach their aspirations from early learning through college, career, and citizenship.

Hawaii's planning is based on a process and beliefs that are central to the unique values and traditions of Hawaii.

Academic Assessments

Hawaii currently administers the Smarter Balanced Assessment (SBA), an English language arts and mathematics assessment, to assess students' achievement of the Hawaii Common Core Standards. However, for students enrolled in Ka Papahana Kaiapuni, the Hawaiian language immersion program, Hawaii administers the Kaiapuni Assessment for Educational Outcomes, a standards-based assessment in the Hawaiian language. The State of Hawaii and the Board of Education (BOE) recognizes the Hawaiian language as an official language of the state in addition to English. The Kaiapuni Assessment of Educational Outcomes (KAEO) is a series of high-quality assessments of state academic content and performance standards that were developed and are administered in the Hawaiian language. The decision to create an assessment written in the Hawaiian language was made to provide students in Ka Papahana Kaiapuni with an assessment based on the indigenous culture and native language in which their learning occurs. HIDOE's Office of Hawaiian Education, teachers from the Ka Papahana Kaiapuni schools, the University of Hawaii at Manoa's College of Education, and community partners participated in the development of the assessment from item writing and review to scoring and alignment studies.

KAEO for Hawaiian language arts and mathematics is currently administered to grades 3 and 4 students enrolled in Ka Papahana Kaiapuni. The KAEO science assessment, which assesses the BOE-approved Next Generation Science Standards, are administered to grade 4 students enrolled in Ka Papahana Kaiapuni. KAEO will be submitted for peer review in Fall 2017 with evidence from prior administrations. Hawaii plans to expand the administration of the KAEO to grades 5 through 8 in Spring 2019 with field tests in Spring 2018. During the Spring 2018 field tests for KAEO, grades 5-8 students enrolled in Ka Papahana Kaiapuni (approximately 775) will be expected to take an assessment of language arts and mathematics – either the KAEO field tests or SBA – and grade 8 students in Ka Papahana Kaiapuni will take either the HSA-Science (a bridge version based on standards common to both Hawaii Content and Performance

Standards III and the Next Generation Science Standards) or the KAEO Science field test, based on the Next Generation Science Standards.

Hawaii will continue to monitor its assessment program to ensure it is effectively measuring student achievement and school and system performance and will evaluate the ESSA Innovative Assessment Demonstration Authority opportunity when the US Department of Education issues the application.

Accountability

Hawaii's accountability system will provide information about each school's progress on the state's Strategic Plan Student Success Indicators (Strive HI) and ESSA-required indicators. Annual public reports will provide comprehensive information on schools' progress. The Strategic Plan Student Success Indicators include chronic absenteeism rate; school climate; inclusion rate; third-grade literacy; ninth-grade on-track; academic achievement in language arts, mathematics, and science; achievement gap in language arts and mathematics; high school graduation; career and technical education. For ESSA statewide accountability, Hawaii will include academic achievement as measured by language arts and mathematics proficiency, academic progress as measured by median Student Growth Percentile, graduation rate, progress in achieving English language proficiency, and school quality/student success as measured by chronic absenteeism rates.

Accountability reports are based on four objectives:

1. **Empowered:** All students are empowered by their learning to set and achieve their aspirations for the future.
2. **Whole Child:** All students are safe, healthy, and supported in school so they can engage fully in high quality educational opportunities.
3. **Well-Rounded:** All students are offered and engage in a rigorous, well-rounded education so that students are prepared to be successful in their post-high school goals.
4. **Prepared and Resilient:** All students transition successfully throughout their educational experiences.

Schools may select an extended-year graduation or completer rate as a locally-selected measure for Strive HI, the state's school accountability and performance reporting system.

Supporting Excellent Educators

HIDOE's strategic plan outlines four professional learning networks. Two of which are whole child and well-rounded curriculum.

Hawaii allows licensed teachers multiple pathways to show subject matter competence in content areas other than the one they are licensed in. Hawaii encourages teachers to be certified in multiple subjects.

Supporting All Students

Hawaii has identified key transitions to support students. One area is to create innovative learning options to earn a high school diploma. Another is to make sure that every student who completes high school has identified a next step that aligns to their future aspirations.

Hawaii is exploring alternative programs to support students in alternative school settings. Hawaii currently provides alternative education through two community schools. They both employ a competency-based program and a high school equivalency preparation program. To support the transition from high school to college, HIDOE will support schools to expand AP and dual credit offerings. High school students can also participate in the Early College program in partnership with the University of Hawaii Community Colleges. HIDOE also supports career academies and pathways. Students also have access to the Career Technical Education Program.

Secondary migratory students are supported to develop educational personal transition plans or graduation/career plans that are appropriate to their goals and needs at least annually.

The Migrant Education Database is updated every night so that family eligibility information is updated daily. This enables transfer of records that includes demographics, assessment, health, and course history data.

Learn More

Find more information on Hawaii's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at

<http://www.hawaiipublicschools.org/VisionForSuccess/AdvancingEducation/StriveHIPerformanceSystem/Pages/ESSA.aspx#next>.

Idaho: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Idaho has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long Term Goals

Idaho plans to create long-term goals for the extended graduation rate once it establishes the reporting necessary to calculate the extended cohort graduation rate.

Academic Assessments

Instead of end of course assessments, Idaho permits LEAs to create their own district-wide assessments.

Accountability

Idaho's proposed accountability system will include a school quality indicator for high school and alternative high schools focused on college and career readiness, determined by student participation in advanced opportunities (dual credit, technical competency credit, Advanced Placement, and International Baccalaureate programs) and earning industry recognized certifications.

Idaho is also developing a five-year cohort graduation rate that is not yet being implemented.

Support / Improvement for Schools

ISDE has built a system to engage parents within the improvement process. The family and community engagement coordinator identifies, plans, and implements methods that would support LEA leaders and their schools in engaging families and the community at large in the discussion of continuous school improvement. In addition, Idaho has collaborated with the Academic Development Institute, the parent organization for the Center on Innovation and Improvement, to provide the Family Engagement Tool (FET) as a resource to all Idaho schools. The FET guides school leaders through an assessment of indicators related to family engagement policies and practices. The resulting outcome is a set of recommendations that can be embedded in the school's improvement plan.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Idaho has a "Grow Your Own" initiative that allows areas with teacher shortages to participate in programs that enable paraprofessionals to become teachers. Additionally, the Grow Your Own initiative will expand concurrent enrollment to allow high school students to take undergraduate education courses in partnership with public universities, Idaho Digital Learning Academy, and LEAs.

Idaho is interested in supporting both principals and teachers. For principals, The Idaho Principal Mentoring Project matches early career principals with a state trained mentor principal. This mentor is selected based on the needs of each individual school and experience of the principal. They create a customized mentorship plan that develops the mentees leadership.

Supporting All Students

Idaho would provide Title IV-A funds for alternative schools that provide a personalized approach, both academically and otherwise (tailored instruction and services such as daycare, social workers, specialized counselors and psychologists). Funds can also be used for curriculum expeditions to enrich classroom experiences in alignment with curriculum. Additionally, the funds can be used for arts education; physical education; health education; opportunities like dual credit, professional technical education, AP, and IB programs; and cultural integration.

LEAs are encouraged to provide advanced opportunities in math for middle school students. Advanced opportunities are options for students to individualize their high school learning plans, including dual credit, technical competency credit, Advanced Placement, and International Baccalaureate program.

Title I, Part D programs provide for the individualization of instructional experiences by identifying the academic strengths and weaknesses in reading and math for neglected and/or delinquent students.

Learn More

Find more information on Idaho's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://www.sde.idaho.gov/topics/consolidated-plan/index.html>.

Illinois: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Vision

Illinois' vision is that the state is one of "whole, healthy children nested in whole, healthy systems supporting communities wherein all citizens are socially and economically secure."

Long Term Goals

The state will incorporate the 5- and 6-year extended graduation rate into the state's long-term goals calculation.

English Learners' long-term goals will be based on a growth to target model. Growth to target trajectories will be calculated for students based on their grade, English proficiency scaled composite score, and the time it will take to reach proficiency using the 2016-17 baseline scores. The state will set reasonable goals and expectations for growth percentages after analyzing the number of students reaching targets over time.

Accountability

Illinois' proposed accountability system will weight academic growth in ELA and math at the elementary level, and academic growth in ELA and math, as well as graduation, at the high school level at 50% of the total calculation. The state has chosen to weigh these indicators heavily in order to ensure they are closing equity gaps. Other accountability measures include academic proficiency; English Language Proficiency; Science proficiency (set at 0% weight until 2019-2020); a measure of college and career readiness; chronic absenteeism; a fine arts indicator (not weighted); 9th grade on-track to graduation; and a student success indicator for grades K-12 that uses a suite of climate surveys to capture student, parent, teacher, and administrator voice. Though the state does not currently have a growth measure at the high school level, Illinois' governor acknowledged the importance of the measure in the new accountability system and is dedicated to finding resources to collect that data in grades 9-12.

Support / Improvement for Schools

All schools may access differentiated supports and services through IL-EMPOWER, the state's online platform for monitoring and supporting school improvement. An audit will help a school determine which IL-EMPOWER provider will best fit their needs. School targets must be identified in one or more of the following areas: Governance and Management, Curriculum and Instruction, and Climate and Culture.

The state's exit criteria for schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement includes a proposal that a school, in addition to no longer meeting eligibility criteria for comprehensive support and improvement, must establish a growth trajectory for students, including those at the highest and lowest levels of attainment. Another proposed criteria requires that a school has a strong plan for sustainability of its progress made that articulates a clear rationale for what it proposes to sustain. This includes a theory of action, measurable goals, aligned strategies, and a robust progress monitoring plan. This sustainability plan must

explain how the school will maintain a strong rate of growth and change while addressing how the school plans to ensure sustainability with reduced services, supports, and/or funding. For schools that have been receiving targeted support, proposed exit criteria include a requirement that a school, in addition to meeting the criteria for targeted support and improvement, has established a growth trajectory for the identified student demographic group to bring its performance into alignment with the state's long-term goals. Another proposed criteria requires that the school have a strong plan for sustainability of the progress that it has made that articulates a clear rationale for what it proposes to sustain, including a theory of action, measurable goals, aligned strategies, and a robust progress monitoring plan.

Supporting Excellent Educators

The Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE) proposes professional learning for educators to build their content knowledge and build upon resources in differentiated instruction, balanced assessment, data and assessment literacy, family/caretaker and community engagement, social and emotional learning (SEL), cultural competency, trauma and behavioral health issues, restorative justice and recognizing implicit bias, developing school building leaders, and continuous teacher learning and development. The state will set aside Title I, Title II, Title III, and IDEA funding for this purpose. The Illinois department will set aside Title II and state funding to create and support an educator leader network to leaders between districts.

A data system called Illinois Data FIRST will connect resource allocation information to student outcomes and educator information. Data FIRST contains an Instruction Support section, which delivers a comprehensive and high-quality educator dashboard suite, including district, school, teacher, and student-level details, to support data informed administrative and instructional decisions.

1. Illinois is launching an educator dashboard called Ed360. Ed360 will have a formative assessment expansion with additional professional learning focusing on:
Identifying and/or developing formative and summative assessments;
2. Using technology and tools in the classroom;
3. Content resources, including guidance on how to use resources developed to improve student achievement; and
4. Professional learning regarding behavioral and mental health, equity and diversity issues to support healthier school environments.

The state education department also supports Online Impact, an online professional development site that allows teachers to develop and expand their knowledge and skills, while exploring new teaching strategies, in a time frame that is convenient for them.

Supporting All Students

Illinois believes that to best support schools in providing a well-rounded education for students, the state must provide resources to support the development of the whole child. The state will do so by intertwining use of Title IV and Title II funds. The state intends to use Perkins funding to support innovative, competency-based learning experiences with Career and Technical Education (CTE) classrooms.

Title IV, Part A funds will be used to coordinate state level strategies in order to reduce exclusionary discipline, implement evidence-based behavioral health programs, and improve outcomes of children living in the most distressed communities.

The state is focused on providing a personalized, rigorous learning experience for all students. The state's strategic use of funds offers academic and CTE opportunities, including career pathways and dual credit. Career pathways programs include industry partnerships, work-based learning experiences, credentials and certifications, and technical student organizations, and individualized career plans.

The state has developed a framework for family engagement, designed as a strategy for school improvement. IL will use a portion of its 5 percent administrative set-aside from the Title IV Part A allocation to fund a grant to support family engagement. The state will integrate tools and resources into the framework for more targeted and intensive individualized engagement with families of students with disabilities, EL students, students with behavioral issues, and/or students with trauma.

Illinois plans to use a portion of its 5 percent administrative set-aside from the Title IV allocation to fund a grant to support innovative use of technology in the classroom.

Learn More

Find more information on Illinois' ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://www.isbe.net/essa>.

Indiana: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Indiana has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Accountability

Indiana's accountability system was built on the following guiding principles which were applied when developing this plan:

- The accountability system should be student-centered, and set targets that encourage high expectations and increased levels of achievement for all students.
- The accountability system should be comprehensible, and provide actionable information for schools to utilize in improving educational opportunities for its students.
- To the extent practicable, the accountability system should reflect the complex nature of education.
- The accountability system should focus attention on schools that need support in order to provide all students in the State with an equitable academic experience that contributes to postsecondary and workforce success.

The Graduation Rate indicator is based on two metrics: the four-year graduation rate, and the five-year graduation rate improvement. The five-year graduation rate improvement metric considers how many more students the school was able to graduate at the end of five years. The difference between the five-year adjusted cohort graduation rate and the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for the same cohort is used to identify the additional students that graduated, and points are awarded based on this difference.

The School Quality/Student Success Indicator for grades 9-12 is a college- and career-readiness achievement rate that is calculated for grade 12. This metric considers how many graduates completed an activity to prepare for postsecondary education or demonstrate workforce readiness. The college- and career-readiness achievement rate is based on the total number of graduates who completed at least one of the following:

- Earned a passing score on an International Baccalaureate exam,
- Earned a passing score on an Advanced Placement exam,
- Earned at least three (3) college credit hours from an approved course, or
- Earned an approved industry certification.

Indiana has established a goal that at least 25 percent of graduates demonstrate college- or career-readiness. Therefore, if a school's college- and career-readiness achievement rate is 25 percent or higher, then its college- and career-readiness achievement score defaults to 100.0 points. If this achievement rate is below 25 percent, then the actual achievement rate is multiplied by a state goal to yield the final overall domain score.

Supporting All Students

Indiana is putting various supports in place to support the whole child. In doing so its creating an integrated, student-centric, aligned system that provides many foundational elements to support personalized learning.

Indiana’s vision for a Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) is to provide academic, behavioral, and social-emotional support, grounded in culturally responsive practices, to all students. Indiana has developed guidance for academic and behavioral support and is currently expanding this draft to include a social-emotional component. The current guidance includes a multi-tiered approach to the early identification and support of students with learning and behavioral needs across the pre-K to 12 continuum. The process begins with high-quality instruction and universal screening of all children in the general education classroom. This strengths-based model systematically identifies and provides ALL students with the supports they need to succeed.

The Interconnected System Framework is supported through the newly formed Indiana School Mental Health Initiative at the Indiana Resource Center for Autism at Indiana University. The shared goal is to ensure that all students are mentally and emotionally healthy. The initiative aims to provide guidance, resources, trainings, and coaching to aid schools and their community partners in providing a continuum-of-care that addresses everything from prevention through crisis intervention in an integrated way that focuses on all barriers to student learning. In recognizing that schools cannot do this alone, a primary focus will also be to help develop partnerships at the community, regional, and state level.

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) is an educational framework based on research in the learning sciences, including cognitive neuroscience, that guides the development of flexible learning environments that can accommodate individual learning differences. Recognizing that the way individuals learn can be unique, the UDL framework calls for creating curriculum that provides:

- Multiple means of representation to give learners various ways of acquiring information and knowledge.
- Multiple means of expression to provide learners alternatives for demonstrating what they know.
- Multiple means of engagement to tap into learners' interests, challenge them appropriately, and motivate them to learn.

Indiana is committed to setting students up for success by expanding and increasing the rigor of advanced placement (AP), International Baccalaureate (IB), and dual credit programs throughout local schools and LEAs in Indiana. The state is also committed to providing quality career and technical education (CTE) through career pathways. Many of these career pathways lead to a valuable industry certification and all pathways have support from local business and industry partners. Students connect pathway experiences to the workplace and build employability skills through work- based learning experiences.

IDOE provides resources to assist LEAs in providing a smooth transition from middle to high school. These resources include, but are not limited to, a transition presentation for students and parents, which includes a thorough explanation of diploma and assessment requirements; a roadmap of recommended expectations/activities and academic, postsecondary and social-emotional competencies by grade level spans; and the Indiana School Counseling Competencies, which address the academic, college/career, and social-emotional developmental needs of students.

Based on stakeholder feedback, IDOE will include social and emotional supports as a category choice for its Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants provided through Title IV, Part A in ESSA.

Learn More

Find more information on Indiana's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.doe.in.gov/essa>.

Iowa: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Iowa has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long Term Goals

The state will include the four- and five-year adjusted cohort graduation rates in its long term goals.

Accountability

Iowa will use average scale scores, proficiency, and student growth percentiles to measure academic achievement. The state will use four- and five-year graduation rates for the graduation rate indicator. The state will also use a postsecondary readiness indicator to measure growth in high schools, which focuses on students who needed to take remedial courses in college.

Iowa will use its safe and supportive schools survey as the indicator for school quality and success. The survey focuses on safety, engagement, and the environment.

Iowa will weigh growth twice as much as categories like academic achievement and progress toward English Language Proficiency (ELP) in its accountability plan at the elementary, middle, and high school levels.

Support / Improvement for Schools

During the planning year, all districts with at least one school identified in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement will participate in a resource allocation review. The review will be common across districts and focus on the equitable distribution of programs and personnel. For example, the review may consider equitable access to preschool programs, advanced coursework, and licensed teachers. The review will be facilitated by area education agencies and IDOE staff. Districts will participate with a leadership team. Findings of inequity will be expected to be addressed within the school improvement plan developed during the planning year.

Supporting Excellent Educators

As needed, the state will provide continued professional learning support for schools and districts with English Learners. Every year, a menu of available technical assistance across the state will be released. The learning will be focused around evidence-based practices in each conceptual area of the Differentiated Accountability Model. Schools will choose training to attend based upon their priority areas. The Iowa Professional Development Model will be used to support schools in utilizing best practices in professional learning.

Iowa will use 4% of its set-aside of Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 funds to provide necessary professional development to support its Conditions for Learning Survey.

Supporting All Students

In addition to the Iowa Core in ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies, students are required to master standards in 21st Century Skills (civics, literacy, financial literacy, health literacy, technology literacy and employability skills).

Iowa has established 7 strategies to support education from preschool through grade 12 and post-secondary options. Included in these strategies are: 1) Multi-tiered systems of support that include data-based decision making and evidence-based intervention systems that include diagnosis and identification of specific learning needs of individual students and sub-groups of students and how to effectively deliver instruction to maximize student engagement and achievement. 2) Learning Supports for instruction; family supports and involvement; community partnerships; safe, healthy and caring learning environments; supports for transitions; and child/youth engagement. 3) STEM and CTE, focusing on students who have been historically under-represented in these areas, including female, minority, and low-income students. Iowa has a STEM Advisory Council and has identified exemplar STEM professional learning that will be delivered by higher education partners to teachers across the state who will in turn implement evidence-based strategies in their classrooms. Future Ready Iowa, a governor-initiated strategy, focuses on access to education and training required for productive careers now and in the future. CTE in Iowa includes organized educational programs offering a sequence of courses which are directly related to the preparation of individuals in employment in current or emerging occupations.

The IDOE will collaborate with the Iowa Department of Human services (DHS) and the Iowa Department of Corrections (DOC) to create seamless transitions for youth leaving correctional institutions in Iowa. The three agencies will work collaboratively to provide quality programming at each stage of a youth's transition:

- Entry into secure care,
- Residence,
- Exit from secure care,
- Aftercare.

The program for youth in secure facilities includes numerous objectives and outcomes including the following:

- Youth receive meaningful career exploration, career planning, guidance and job training services as well as comprehensive social emotional and "21st Century" skills to identify, obtain, and sustain employment. Youth have access to career/ technical education programs that offer industry-recognized credentials and certificates.
- Youth are exposed early to postsecondary education opportunities, receive academic and other support to achieve their future education goals, and are supported by a culture that reinforces their ability to attend and succeed in higher education or training.

Learn More

Find more information on Iowa's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://www.educateiowa.gov/pk-12/every-student-succeeds-act>.

Louisiana: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Accountability

Louisiana has created a Strength of Diploma indicator in its accountability index. This indicator awards points based on the attainment of a high school diploma as well as post-secondary credit or credentials (i.e., more credits = higher points). It awards points for graduates who earn associates' degrees, passed AP/IB/CLEP exams, earned credit in AP/IB/dual enrollment courses, earned industry credentials, graduated in 5 or 6 years, and completed a HiSET equivalency diploma. Unlike the graduation rate, this indicator recognizes the benefits to students when schools provide an array of opportunities for advanced coursework and credentials that promote a successful transition to college or a career. This indicator will count 25% of the overall score for high schools. The state is focused on ensuring that students ultimately achieve Mastery on state assessments, as this level of performance signals true "mastery" of fundamental skills.

However, two additional questions are also important to consider when evaluating schools.

- If students are not yet achieving Mastery, are they on track?
- Are students outperforming similar peers?

Louisiana's new growth index will consider both questions. Growth will count 25% of the overall score for elementary schools and 12.5% for high schools in the state. Lastly, the state has created an interests and opportunities indicator (five percent of each school's score) which will measure whether schools are providing students with access to a well-rounded education exposing them to diverse areas of learning in which they can develop their skills and talents. This indicator will also measure the extent to which schools are providing students the opportunity to take courses needed to successfully transition to post-secondary studies, including courses for college credit and those that lead to a recognized industry credential. All elementary and middle settings should offer every Louisiana student access to quality visual and performing arts, foreign language instruction, technology consistent with current standards, and a variety of co-curricular activities (academic, athletic, and special interest clubs), all of which are supported by research-based evidence. High schools should offer all Louisiana students access to all courses required for receiving Tuition Opportunity Program for Students (TOPS) University and TOPS Tech scholarships, a variety of statewide Jump Start training pathways leading to advanced credentials, or an associate's degree aligned to top-demand occupations.

Supporting All Students

Louisiana will prioritize early college coursework in alignment with House Concurrent Resolution 141 and Senate Resolution 182 of the 2016 Regular Session call to design statewide systems of expanded early college access for eligible students and to report back to the legislature by February 2017.

The state will increase access to technology and digital learning: In the Technology Readiness Survey most recently conducted in December of 2016, 93.4 percent of the state's public schools met the state's minimum 5:1 student-to-computer and connectivity model required for offering a reasonable level of technology-based instruction. Nearly 350 schools have begun shifting their instruction to a digitally interactive model by implementing 1:1 student-to-computer programs, and 49 school systems have adequate access to broadband Internet capacity. Act 722 of 2014 urged Louisiana's state agencies, elementary and secondary school systems, and post-secondary education systems to pursue innovative, collaborative public-private partnerships to expand access to broadband Internet.

Louisiana will withhold 3% of its Title I allocation to participate in the Direct Student Services opportunity. Specifically, the state will establish Enrichment Academies (for elementary schools) and Opportunity Academies (for middle and high schools). These academies will provide students access to courses and experiences that align with the specific goals they are working to achieve, that parents seek for their students, and that are offered by the school. High schools, for example, can dramatically expand access to dual enrollment courses, Jump Start internships, post-secondary transition counseling, advanced academic coursework, and financial aid planning support. Elementary and middle schools will be able to make significant strides in offering music and dual language curricula or tutorial services to benefit struggling students.

Learn More

Find more information on Louisiana's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at [https://www.louisianabelieves.com/resources/about-us/every-student-succeeds-act-\(essa\)](https://www.louisianabelieves.com/resources/about-us/every-student-succeeds-act-(essa)).

Maine: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Vision

In order to enhance the supports provided to schools, Maine believes a cohesive model of school and district supports is required. Rather than the available funding streams determining how schools and districts implement and drive programming, the needs of the schools and the students must be at the forefront of the work driving school improvement and ultimately student achievement for all students. As such, all districts and schools will conduct a needs assessment and develop a comprehensive education plan aligned to the five core elements of the state's strategic plan:

- **Effective, Learner-Centered Instruction:** Closest to the learners are the instructional practices used in the classroom. This core priority area concerns the standards and curricula, classroom practices and instructional techniques, assessment of student learning, and the use of data to inform decision making.
- **Great Teachers and Leaders:** Effective instructional practices cannot be applied without great teachers and school leaders, the second core priority area. Ensuring that every student is surrounded by great educators means focusing on the need to provide top-quality preparation and ongoing support to the state's teachers and leaders.
- **Multiple Pathways for Learner Achievement:** Building a system of schooling that meets the needs of all students will require building an educational system with unprecedented flexibility and multiple avenues for student success. Creating multiple pathways for student achievement must be a central focus of our efforts.
- **Comprehensive School and Community Supports:** For learners to be successful, a comprehensive network of school and community supports is critical. We must ensure that learners have access to the services they need to be successful and that families and the broader community outside the school walls are engaged as partners in teaching and learning.
- **Coordinated and Effective State Support:** Every effort must also be made to carefully align the entire educational system so that learners can move seamlessly from one educational opportunity to the next, including state support. Technology must be integrated seamlessly and system-wide, and we must put a new accountability structure into place.

Long Term Goals

The state will establish a graduation goal rate of 90% for each publicly supported secondary school. The state will incorporate five- and six-year graduation rates into long-term goals.

Accountability

Maine shifted from the New England Comprehensive Assessment Program for grades 3-8 and the SAT for grade 11 used in 2006-2014, to Smarter Balance in 2015, to eMPowerME in 2016. The state will not have a second year of student performance under eMPowerME until later this

spring. Until Maine has data and can conduct simulations for combining multiple indicators to generate the summary measures of school performance, the state can only present a conceptual framework for the accountability system which it will launch at the end of the 2017-18 school year.

Maine's conceptual framework includes plans to incorporate student progress in addition to proficiency into its accountability system, as measured on the annual statewide assessments in ELA and math. The state will include adjusted four-, five-, and six-year graduation rates in its accountability system. Finally, the state will explore other college- and career-ready indicators and the data sources and state definitions needed to measure those.

Support / Improvement for Schools

Maine plans to create a comprehensive system of school support, including three levels of support. Level I schools will receive general, statewide support. These schools meet the state's expectations, and will have a comprehensive education plan put in place with support of the state. Level II schools will receive targeted, directed support for specific challenges. These schools fall below state expectations in specific, targeted accountability indicators with consistently under-performing subgroups. Level III schools will receive comprehensive, directed and intensive support for the comprehensive challenges. These schools fall below state expectations across multiple required accountability indicators.

Level I schools will have access to innovation summits and a collective resource bank.

Maine will include all schools, not just those receiving Title I funds, in the review process for differentiated support to ensure all schools are receiving the supports they need. The identified accountability indicators in addition to indicators contained in Maine's school review dashboard will provide determinants for identification and necessary differentiated supports. Maine believes that there may be elements in which targeted intervention is required for schools receiving comprehensive support, so will provide purposeful overlap between the two types of support.

Maine will provide increased flexibility for implementing district-level early-release professional development days to focus on school-level goals and indicators for schools exiting state support.

All identified schools will be provided the same equitable access to a menu of school supports that best meet the identified needs of the school and the students it serves. LEAs with multiple schools identified (more than 50%) for comprehensive or targeted supports and interventions will be provided both school and district supports in order to ensure a systemic approach across the district and a consistent and equitable approach regardless of geographical location and school of attendance. One (1) school improvement coach will be assigned to both the district and the schools to ensure a single voice and point of contact for district and school representatives and staff. It is hoped this will allow for increased collaboration between the school improvement coach, central office, and school building staff.

Supporting Excellent Educators

The department, in collaboration with districts, will use the following data to identify professional development priorities and available supports.

- The department will look to realize the potential of educator effectiveness systems to differentiate educator performance accurately; provide meaningful, improvement-focused feedback to educators; identify priorities for continuous improvement; and provide targeted professional development in the interest of student learning. This includes evaluator training and ongoing calibration structures and supports for observation; educator professional practice improvement building off current professional development priorities, programs, and structures to explore new options and delivery methods; and micro-credentialing opportunities for professional development offerings aligned to professional practice standards and high-leverage, research-based practices. It also includes student growth and achievement, allowing the department to draw on current and evolving tools to develop student learning objectives that support district efforts at ensuring quality measurement and monitoring of student growth and achievement.
- As part of the tiered system of comprehensive support, the Maine DOE will leverage school environment measurement tools and action planning resources developed through its Maine Schools For Excellence School Culture and Climate Committee to support districts in identifying ways in which they can improve the conditions in their schools to maximize the engagement and success of students and educators. These include determining school climate based on perception surveys and other opportunities to expand such measurement tools and refining tools the state already uses, as well as an opportunity for teachers to provide feedback on their work environment.
- To promote collaboration, sharing, learning, and continuous improvement of teaching and learning conditions across the state, districts are encouraged to submit their own best practice tools and resources to the department so they can be added to an online inventory of school-improvement tools and resources, accessible for use by all districts.

Educator-effectiveness data analysis. Analysis of school-level educator effectiveness data is dis-aggregated by professional practice standards and will assist the department in determining specific areas for professional development needs and identify and deliver resources on a regional and/or statewide basis to address these needs.

Analysis of school-level climate data is dis-aggregated by domain (e.g., engagement, safety, and environment), allowing the department to determine professional development needs and identify and deliver resources on a regional and/or statewide basis to address these needs.

The Maine DOE will work with the State Board of Education to expand certification areas to create new certifications and endorsements that address current needs, while adequately preparing educators to provide greater flexibility to schools. For example, the Maine DOE is currently engaged in conversation about the addition of a STEM certification earned through matriculation in a prescribed undergraduate degree. The proposed course of study could

provide the basis for a 7-12 STEM certification in physical science, engineering, mathematics, and computer science. This certification, which has been a long-standing need, would also provide increased flexibility in recruitment in schools disproportionately served by out-of-licensure educators in mathematics and science.

The Maine DOE will also work with the Maine Principals Association and State Board of Education to consider changes to the certification requirements to include coursework or mentor-ships that will give principals experiences and strategies related to changing economics and demographics in rural Maine communities and development of community champions and partnerships to support student success.

Supporting All Students

Since 2012, Maine's strategic plan has focused on priorities organized around meeting the individual learning needs of students. These priorities include effective, learner-centered instruction, great teachers and leaders, multiple pathways for learner achievement, comprehensive school and community supports, and coordinated and effective state support. Maine has a proficiency-based system with a proficiency-based diploma to ensure students graduate college and career ready. The state's proficiency system is how it defines a well-rounded education for students. It involves a system of opportunities all the way through the education continuum.

Maine is part of a consortium of states that, for the last three years, has piloted and field tested a whole child formative assessment at the K-3 grade levels. The DOE's goal is to build capacity for these formative assessments at the local level and help teachers look at developmental indicators they may not have focused upon previously such as social and emotional and fine motor development.

As a part of a comprehensive set of teaching and learning supports for middle school through high school, Maine provides a "Working Smarter, Not Harder Formative Assessment Network" charged with building educators knowledge and skill at employing formative assessment strategies in ELA. The state has also collaborated with CTE program teachers and teachers in ELA and in math to identify intersections where students naturally demonstrate application of the appropriate skills aligned to Maine's ELA content standards.

Health and physical education consultants with the state are in charge of gathering data on most components of the state's model called "Whole School, Whole Child, Whole Community," which also includes practices related to bullying, school health policies, nutrition, school based health services, and family and community engagement.

To ensure high-quality proficiency-based health and physical education for all Maine students, health and PE teachers funded through Title IIA are being trained in leadership and presentation skills and in teaching and pedagogical knowledge and skills in order to improve their own teaching practices and to deliver professional development to colleagues statewide in preschool through high school and higher education.

The Maine DOE mathematics specialist will continue to facilitate trainings with CTE instructors and academic high school math teachers.

Maine offers multiple opportunities for professional development in the Arts in order to deepen student learning in a personalized way. Cohorts of Creative Assessment teachers are exploring concepts for creative assessments. The state provides proficiency-based learning and proficiency-based diploma system support in order to provide education leadership and expertise to organizations and educators statewide on a variety of system change topics related to moving toward a truly proficiency-based system. Support includes collaborative coaching visits and a peer review process so educators can refine their work, policies and practices to increase opportunities for each student to learn and demonstrate growth.

Maine recognizes that multiple pathways will promote student engagement and success. Ultimately, students must demonstrate proficiency in order to graduate. The state has the following pathways: CTE, alternative education programs, career academics, advanced placements, online courses, adult education, dual enrollment, gifted and talented programs, independent study, and internships. Students must be allowed to demonstrate proficiency by presenting multiple types of evidence, including teacher or student-designed assessments, portfolios, performances, exhibitions, projects, and community service.

Maine will use funding for the 21st Century Community Centers to promote out-of-school learning, including STEM and the performing arts, as well as to teach and engage with the "whole child."

Learn More

Find more information on Maine's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.maine.gov/doe/essa/>.

Maryland: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Maryland has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Academic Assessments

The new Maryland Integrated Science Assessment (MISA), which begins in the 2017-2018 school year for elementary and middle school, and the 2018-2019 school year for high school, aligns to the Next Generation Science Standards. The assessment includes Performance Expectations (PEs) for grades 5, 8, and once in high school. Students at the high school level can take the assessment once they have completed a set of PEs. The high school assessment will be administered in January, May, and August so students can demonstrate mastery when ready.

Accountability

The state will use two growth measures to calculate student academic progress for middle and elementary school: a value matrix and a comparison measure against academic peers.

The state will use both the four- and five-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for high schools as well as college and career ready indicators including performance on AP, IB, SAT, ACT, dual enrollment, postsecondary enrollment, Career Technical Education (CTE) concentrator, and industry certification.

To calculate an Achievement and Gap Narrowing Indicator, the state is considering student achievement on a performance composite scale of 1-5. This approach would incentivize improvement at all levels and reward continuous improvement by assigning points to each student with partial credit available for performance below proficient and extra credit available for performance above proficient.

Support / Improvement for Schools

The state will significantly extend support to districts and comprehensive support and improvement schools through an online Performance Management System that will highlight the ongoing indicators of progress and adjustments in the areas of turnaround leadership, culture shift, instructional transformation, and talent development.

MSDE will provide additional support to low performing districts designated on a statewide “watch list” This list will include the lowest achieving six to ten percent of Title I schools and public high schools that have a four year adjusted cohort graduation rate between 68 and 75 percent that have not already been identified as a comprehensive support and improvement school.

Supporting Excellent Educators

The state will use Title IIA funds to support districts in the development of personalized growth plans for educators. As part of this effort, the state will investigate tools, surveys, rubrics,

continuums or frameworks that educators can use to anonymously self-assess their abilities and identify their needs.

Learn More

Find more information on Maryland's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.marylandpublicschools.org/about/Pages/DAPI/ESSA/index.aspx>.

Massachusetts: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Vision

Massachusetts seeks for all students to be prepared for success after high school and has five strategies to work towards that goal. Two of these strategies are to support social-emotional learning, health, and safety and to use technology and data to support student learning. One of the particular areas of focus for these strategies is to enable all students access to multiple high-quality pathways to educational and career opportunities after secondary school.

Accountability

Massachusetts proposes to include the following indicators in its accountability system: academic achievement, growth, graduation rates, access to the arts (including improvement in access), and breadth of curriculum and access to advanced coursework (including growth in these areas) for high schools.

The state will continue to use student growth percentile (SGP) for its growth measure but will transition to the mean SGP as opposed to the median to better reflect the full range of growth percentiles. As the state transitions its assessment program over the coming years, it will pursue the possibility of using a growth to standard measure for public reporting and as a metric in the district and school accountability system.

In addition to the four-year graduation rate, Massachusetts will use a modified version of the five-year graduation rate in its district and school accountability system. The district and school accountability system should incentivize welcoming students back into the school environment regardless of whether they are on track to graduate in four or five years. Many high schools now have alternative programming designed for off-track students and an accountability system should reward these types of programs rather than negatively impacting schools with a traditional five-year graduation rate calculation.

Massachusetts proposes to include successful completion of broad and challenging coursework as a measure of School Quality or Student Success at the high school level. This indicator would measure the percentage of students that successfully complete advanced courses (defined as AP, IB, honors etc.) in a school year. The accountability system would incentivize student participation in these types of courses.

Supporting Excellent Educators

In advancing the use of data and technology, Massachusetts is supporting educators to personalized learning and improve academic achievement through high quality professional development.

Massachusetts has put together resources and guidance to support educators in better meeting the social-emotional needs of their students.

Supporting All Students

Massachusetts promotes early college models and partnerships. It also provides funding and technical support to schools, districts, and workforce investment boards to support students participating in work-based learning.

The state also emphasizes the use of technology and data to support teaching and learning. Massachusetts will use funds to support integrating in-person learning with technology for real-time data use, personalized instruction, and competency-based progression. These models allow for personal connections, personal learning paths, competency-based progression, learner profiles, flexible learning environments, and technological capacity and infrastructure. LEAs will also use funding for state-level initiatives, like using technology to expand the development of Individual Learning Plans in postsecondary planning.

Migrant students receive a range of services such as at-home tutoring. Collaborative efforts between the school district, community-based organizations, and local colleges support migrant youth's transition to higher education.

21st Century Community Learning Center priorities include implementing service learning and project-based learning to support core subject areas.

Strategies to support homeless youth include partnering with community mentoring programs and student-led design of Individual Learning Plans to explore interests, career options, and post-secondary planning.

Learn More

Find more information on Massachusetts' ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.mass.gov/edu/government/departments-and-boards/ese/programs/accountability/financial-support/title-i-and-other-federal-support-programs/every-student-succeeds-act-essa.html>.

Michigan: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Long Term Goals

Michigan established extended year graduate rates to align with the state's initiative to become a top 10 educational state in 10 years (ending in 2024-25 school year). The four-year graduation rate target will be 94.4% and the five- and six-year graduation rate targets will be 96.49% and 97% respectively. The long term goal is to have 75% of schools/subgroups meeting these targets by 2024-2025.

Academic Assessments

The state of MI allows access to end of course assessments in advanced math and provides the opportunity to be prepared for and to take advanced mathematics coursework in middle school consistent with section 1111(b)(2)(C).

Accountability

Michigan's accountability index includes measures of academic growth and proficiency with growth weighted at 34% and proficiency at 20%. The index also includes 4-, 5-, and 6-year graduation rates and a measure for English Language proficiency.

The state has included a four-part additional indicator that includes:

- K-12 Chronic Absenteeism
- K-8 Time Spent in Fine arts, Music, Physical Education, and Access to a Library Media Specialist
- 11th-12th Grade Advanced Coursework (measures the percentage of 11-12 grade students successfully completing advanced coursework - Dual Enrollment, Early Middle College, CTE, AP, and IB.
- High School Post-secondary Enrollment Rate.

Support / Improvement for Schools

Michigan is in the process of developing social-emotional learning standards for K-12 and will be used, in part, to help support schools receiving assistance under Title-I, Part A. Additionally, Michigan's Top 10 in 10 strategies around learner-centered supports include a focus on deeper learning and STEM/STEAM, with application for all students. Michigan wants to ensure that all students are accessing high-quality, meaningful, challenging learning experiences. The state intends to use data to monitor its progress and look at access across the various groups such as minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and low income students, etc.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Michigan is attempting to build a foundation of supports for teachers that will increase the personalized nature of its professional development system. The Michigan Department of Education will collaborate with various partners, including Intermediate School Districts (ISD), professional organizations, and instructional designers, to develop professional learning

modules that are available on-demand to all early childhood and school educators. These modules will address the professional learning appropriate to the educator's role in the initiative and may be available free of charge or fee-based, depending on the availability of funds to support the initiative. The professional learning opportunities provided by these modules can be effectively supported within districts by teacher leaders and principal mentors and supervisors, etc. The state will encourage districts to use their Title II-A funds to tie professional learning activities to their locally-adopted educator evaluation systems so that teachers and leaders receive individualized professional learning experiences tied to meet needs identified in their evaluations.

The Michigan Department of Education is interested in exploring the establishment and use of micro-credentials for teachers and leaders to personalize the professional learning of educators based on their interests, career aspirations, and educator evaluations. While a practice with some promising outcomes but many questions yet to be answered, micro-credentialing could provide early childhood and school educators with focused and intensive professional learning opportunities in specific content, pedagogy, and/or leadership skills. This process could potentially provide the opportunity for educators to add micro-credentials to professional certificates after meeting certain benchmarks as an indication of the mastery of that professional skill or content knowledge.

Supporting All Students

Michigan allows for the inclusion of building technology infrastructure and capacity; personalized professional development for tailored, job embedded support; carrying out innovative blended learning and blended/project based learning approaches; and increasing the usage of digital learning in rural areas; STEM programs; delivering of rigorous coursework via digital learning technologies and assertive technologies.

Learn More

Find more information on Michigan's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,4615,7-140-37818_76731---,00.html.

Mississippi: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Mississippi has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Academic Assessments

The Mississippi Assessment Program (MAP) provides the opportunity for 8th grade students to take the Algebra I assessment before entering high school. In 2016, 2,737 8th graders participated in the Algebra I assessment. Under the new requirements of ESSA, 8th grade students who take Algebra I in the 8th grade will now have to take a high school math assessment. Since a high school assessment above Algebra I has not yet been developed, the Office of Student Assessment plans to create an Algebra II assessment for these students who take Algebra I in the 8th grade. The Office of Student Assessment plans to field test Algebra II items in the spring of 2018, which will allow an operational Algebra II assessment to be administered in the Fall of 2018 for students on a 4x4 block schedule, and the Spring of 2019 for students on a traditional schedule.

Scores of students taking Algebra I, Biology I, English II, or U.S. History end-of-course assessments in a grade below 10th grade will be “banked” for proficiency/achievement and growth calculations until the student is in the 10th grade and then applied to the student’s 10th grade school.

Accountability

Proficiency and Growth are equally weighted in both elementary and high school. Each account for 100 points maximum on the accountability index. At the elementary level, the index accounts for Reading (proficiency, growth of all students, and growth in the lowest 25%), Math (same indicators as Reading), and Proficiency in Science. All indicators are of equal weighting at 100 points per. In high school, the reading and math indicators map over from elementary and each account for 100 points. Proficiency Science and US History each account for 50 points. The 4-year graduation rate accounts for 200 points. MS has created an Acceleration Indicator that accounts for taking and passing the assessment associated with the accelerated courses such as Advanced Placement (AP), International Baccalaureate (IB), Advanced International Certificate of Education (AICE), or SBE-approved industry certification courses. Schools gain 30 points for participation in 2016-17 and then 25 points in subsequent years and 20 points for student performance in 2016-17 and 25 points in subsequent years. The last indicator is a college and career readiness indicator. This indicator is calculated from performance on the ACT. Schools get 25 points per for math and reading performance.

The Mississippi growth model incentivizes schools to move students to the next level regardless of their current level and penalizes schools that allow a student’s proficiency level to drop. In the Mississippi model, the school gets as much credit for moving a student from Performance Level 1 (Minimal) to Performance Level 2 (Basic) as for moving a student from Performance Level 2 to Performance Level 3 (Pass). Likewise, if a student slides from Performance Level 2 to

Performance Level 1, the school loses as much as a student sliding from Performance Level 5 (Advanced) to Performance Level 4 (Proficient). Academic growth is measured by the MAP for grades 3-8 ELA and Mathematics, and for English II and Algebra I in high school.

Supporting Excellent Educators

The Mississippi Department of Education presently offers a menu of services describing professional development available on demand to all educators in Mississippi's public schools. These sessions are designed to improve educator effectiveness and meet the needs of the state's diverse student population. MS provides multiple pathways, programs, and certifications to attract and retain high quality educators. STEM Master Teacher Corps allows for the continuation of support to STEM teachers in the form of professional development to improve both student achievement and outcomes in the STEM subjects. This is developed through intense training for classroom teachers through enriched instructional support and intensive, high-quality professional development activities that focus on deepening teachers' content knowledge. This program year currently targets grades K-8 mathematics teachers in high-need schools. Additionally, MDE is planning to use the 3% set aside (Title II-A) (sec. 2101(c)(3) to strengthen leadership development opportunities in the state.

Supporting All Students

Mississippi intends to use its Title IV, Part A statewide funds to support emerging initiatives designed to provide an equitable education to all Mississippi students. Some of these initiatives include:

- Well Rounded Educational Opportunities - Improving access to world languages, arts education, supporting college and career counseling, including providing information on opportunities for financial aid through the early FAFSA, providing programming to engage all students in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM), including computer science, promoting access to accelerated learning opportunities including Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) programs, dual or concurrent enrollment programs and early college high schools, and strengthening instruction in American history, civics, economics, geography, government, and environmental education.
- Building an Environment Suitable for Today's Technology Needs - MDE's specific actions toward this goal include supporting high quality professional development for educators, school leaders, and administrators to personalize learning and improve academic achievement. Creating a network strong and reliable enough to support 1:1 initiatives and blended learning projects requires districts to build technological capacity and infrastructure.
- College and Career Pathways/Individualized Career and Academic Plan - MDE, the Mississippi Community and Junior Colleges (CJCs) and Institutions for Higher Learning (IHL) coordinated efforts to align the MDE Career Clusters, the Mississippi CJC Career Pathways, and the IHL Academic Majors to ensure a seamless transition for secondary students to postsecondary institutions. Using the Mississippi Articulation and Transfer

Tool (MATT), secondary students will be able to sort by career cluster to locate appropriate programs of study at CJs and IHLs.

- Dual Enrollment/Dual Credit and Advanced Placement Task Force - The Mississippi Dual Enrollment/Dual Credit and Advanced Placement Task Force seeks to create a College and Career Access Pathways partnership between K12 districts, Mississippi Community and Junior Colleges, and the Mississippi Institutions of Higher Learning. The goal of the partnership is to provide admission for qualified secondary students and seamless transfer of credits earned to college and career postsecondary institutions.

Learn More

Find more information on Mississippi's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.mde.k12.ms.us/SSE/essa>.

Missouri: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Missouri has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Academic Assessments

Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (MO-DESE) will continue its implementation of its “right test, right time” administration of End-of-Course (EOC) assessments, particularly in the area of mathematics.

MO-DESE’s plan encourages LEAs to offer students access to courses that prepare them for college and a career, and similarly to offer elementary students access to courses that prepare them for high school. For many students, an accelerated course pattern is optimal because it keeps them engaged in rigorous content. Further, this approach provides subsequent flexibility in high school schedules for advanced mathematics and/or advanced career and technical opportunities. It is imperative that students be provided the opportunity to move into the advanced content once individual readiness has been established.

MO-DESE will continue the process outlined in the approved NCLB Flexibility Waiver Request (June 2015). The following will be used for accountability purposes:

- Proficient Algebra I in middle school + Algebra II in high school
- Proficient Algebra I and Geometry in middle school + Algebra II in high school
- Proficient Algebra I, Geometry and Algebra II in middle school + plan from LEA

For accountability purposes, LEAs and schools will need to determine which assessment, the Grade Level Assessment (GLA) or EOC, is the most appropriate measure for each individual student. When a student fails to score proficient or better on Algebra I prior to ninth grade, the student may be reassessed on the same or a higher mathematics examination in high school for school accountability purposes.

Accountability

The academic achievement metrics include status, growth and progress. The college-and-career readiness measures include multiple opportunities for students to demonstrate they are prepared for postsecondary success, including earning qualifying scores on AP or IB courses, dual credit, or industry-recognized credentials. In addition, each LEA submits 180-day follow up data on their graduates.

Supporting Excellent Educators

The Missouri Leadership Development System (MLDS) is currently under development and is intended to address the needs of emergent educational leaders. An array of professional learning experiences has been developed to support principal growth across a continuum of leadership competencies. Individual competencies are not addressed separately or in sequence, but are embedded in distinct Learning experiences across five identified leadership domains: Visionary, Instructional, Managerial, Relational and Innovative. The MLDS serves as a

blueprint for developing and supporting transformational school principals through a comprehensive system of learning modules that are engaging and relevant. MLDS training and support are provided to all principals through leadership specialists located in regions across the state.

Supporting All Students

MO-DESE's online content delivery system will include material from the Missouri Model Guidance Curriculum that will support LEAs in providing students with effective transitions to middle and high school grades. The Missouri Post-Secondary Success Project (MPSS) is a college-and-career competency framework educators may use to systematically embed these competencies into course content. These competencies are integral to both in-school and post-secondary success by supporting students to be career equipped, lifelong learners who are socially and emotionally engaged. This training is available to any LEA free of charge in both face-to-face and electronic formats.

Based on identified shortages in the number of opportunities for advanced coursework, MO-DESE intends to use Title IV-Part A funds to increase access to advanced coursework for all students, but particularly for minority and economically disadvantaged students as well as for those whose rural or small school settings reduce access. Furthermore, where advanced coursework is locally unavailable, MO-DESE will subsidize course fees for the Missouri Virtual Instruction Program.

Learn More

Find more information on Missouri's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://dese.mo.gov/>.

Montana: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Montana has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Supporting All Students

Montana's Office of Public Instruction is working to:

- Expand student learning opportunities with technology opportunities for all students.
- Afford equitable access to historically disadvantaged students to evidence-based learning materials and supports.
- Increase Montana educators' capacity for using blended learning opportunities for students to support personalized-learning and supports.

In order to support students' access to technology and instructional opportunities and to empower teachers who continually innovate with technology, the Office of Public Instruction recognizes that partnerships must be enhanced or formed both within the state agency and with stakeholders including other agencies, organizations, higher education, and business and industry. The intent of these partnerships is two-fold:

1. To provide students with unique opportunities to experience technology applications and innovations in business and industry.
2. To find additional funding for evidence-based, innovative digital learning projects.

Learn More

Find more information on Montana's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://opi.mt.gov/ESSA/Index.html>.

Nebraska: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Nebraska has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long Term Goals

Nebraska will incorporate four-year and extended year graduation rates into its long-term goals. By 2026, the state has proposed that the dropout rate of all Nebraska students including subgroups will be less than 1% and the 4-year cohort graduation rates for all Nebraska students will be greater than 92 percent and not less than 85 percent for any one subgroup. Also by 2026, the state has proposed that the 7-year cohort graduation rates for all Nebraska students will be greater than 95% and not less than 90% for any one subgroup.

Academic Assessments

Nebraska has developed a model to demonstrate the flow of how learning goals are established through the state's content standards. The top two tiers of the model include standards and indicators, developed by the state. The third tier, encompassing classroom-level instruction and individual student needs, includes a focus on guidance and data based on formative, summative, authentic, and diagnostic assessments to help teachers identify gaps in individual students' knowledge and skills.

Accountability

Nebraska proposes continuing to use its Accountability for a Quality Education System Today and Tomorrow (AQuESTT) to collect and distribute accountability data for schools. AQuESTT includes an online dashboard that includes data for individual schools and the state as a whole. The system is based on state-level drivers including fairness and sensitivity to change, transparency, ability to support school and district improvement and student achievement, multiple indicators derived from key tenets of successful schools and districts, incorporation of trend data, and grounded in student growth.

AQuESTT includes 6 tenets for student and school success put forth by the state board of education.

1. "Positive partnerships, relationships & student success" includes engagement with families and communities as key to enhancing educational experiences. Included in this area of focus are individualized/personalized learning plans and family engagement, among others.
2. "Transitions" focuses on supports for students transitioning between grade levels, programs, and ultimately college and/or career.
3. "Educational Opportunities and Access" includes a focus on comprehensive instructional opportunities to be prepared for education and career goals.
4. "College and Career Ready" focuses on areas of focus that help every student become ready for college or career opportunities through rigorous standards, technology/digital readiness, and support for career awareness and career/college goals.

5. "Assessment" as a tenet is based on the belief that multiple types of assessment including national, state, and classroom-based, and individualized/adaptive assessments should be used to measure student growth and achievement.
6. "Educator Effectiveness" is based on the belief that students should be surrounded by effective educators throughout their learning experiences.

The state will measure academic progress by reviewing school or district improvement over a three year period. The state will also measure student-level growth on specific statewide assessments.

The state will include 4- and 7- year graduation rates as an indicator.

A school quality and student success indicator will measure schools' and districts' responses to questions based on the six AQuESTT tenets put forth by the state. Future drafts of the state's plan will include more detail about the indicator.

Nebraska is working with partners to determine the best accountability metrics for English Learners. Given that students at lower levels of English proficiency see growth at higher rates than those with higher levels of proficiency, the state plans to develop differentiated growth standards that are dependent on a student's level of English proficiency in the prior year to better define support for students. The timeline to proficiency for ELs will not exceed 6 years.

Support / Improvement for Schools

Through the Strategic Planning process NDE has begun identifying new systems of support that could be used to support LEAs in achieving long-term goals. The systems of support are organized by the six tenets of AQuESTT and include the additional category of Leadership. Part of the system of support includes the identification of NDE endorsed evidence-based interventions. NDE is currently engaged in this work.

Supporting Excellent Educators

In order to ensure all teachers, school leaders, and local school board members have access to quality professional learning opportunities, the state will create a department-facilitated professional learning directory.

Nebraska has created a Career Education Teaching Permit to mitigate concerns about teacher shortages. The permit reflects the ESSA-indicated emphasis on Career and Technical Education. The permit is available for individuals hired to teach by a Nebraska school district in a career education where no teaching education program exists, where instructional content of the course exceeds teacher preparation coursework, or for which a school system submits documentation that it has not found a qualified teacher for a specific course in the career education field. Career Education specialists with the department have established a network of supports to encourage instructional success for those new to the field or on a Career Education Teaching Permit who need extra support in ensuring effective instruction.

The state plans to use the 3% optional set-aside of Title II funds to better support principals and school leaders. The state is considering a concerted movement focused on increasing capacity of teachers by supporting principals to create more equitable systems. Additionally, Nebraska plans to hold initial, statewide dialogues to clarify and develop a shared understanding of teacher leadership.

Nebraska proposes using Title II, Part A funding for a number of uses, many of which will evolve over time. These uses include:

- Develop/provide trainings for leaders on how to provide useful and timely feedback to teachers,
- Develop/provide trainings on how to use evaluation results to inform decision-making about professional development and personnel decisions,
- Train educators in implementing blended learning,
- Support early college credit opportunities or dual/concurrent enrollment programs,
- Support STEAM-related efforts,
- Support career and technical education efforts,
- Support an alternate activity with an evidence base.

Supporting All Students

The state will develop a statewide digital course and content repository to ensure all students have access to comprehensive instructional opportunities to be prepared for postsecondary education and career.

The state will focus on creating more equitable access to appropriately endorsed educators in part by increasing the number of classes with endorsed teachers through technology such as distance education.

Nebraska's department of education has an innovative grant fund that is designed to fund district opportunities to support the development, expansion, and investment of innovative best practices that increase outcomes for students at multiple levels. A district may consider using this funding in ways that increase well-rounded education for students. The state will prioritize districts that serve high needs students, include schools in need of improvement, and schools that leverage technology in the context of innovation to support instructional practice and professional development.

Nebraska plans to use Title IV, Part A funds to support strategies that engage parents, families, and communities. Two of AQuESTT's tenets, Positive Partnerships, Relationships, and Student Success, and Educational Opportunities and Access reflect this priority.

Nebraska has passed legislation requiring a transition plan 60 days before a student exits a youth correctional facility having a Special Purpose School. This assists the youth and parent for reentry into the community and public school or alternative school. Legislation in NE Rule 10 and Rule 18 also requires that school districts accept student credits from approved and

accredited schools in facilities. Students can graduate from the 3 Special Purpose Schools awarded Title I Part D funds.

The Nebraska Youth Council (students who have attended facility schools) can provide input and student representatives who can offer student voice for needs while in a juvenile facility and reentry. Special Ed school departments, services for English Language Learners will be included in Education of System Involved Students (ESIS) advisory collaboration.

Learn More

Find more information on Nebraska's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://www.education.ne.gov/ESSA/index.html>.

Nevada: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Accountability

Nevada's accountability system includes the following indicators:

- Academic Proficiency – This measures performance on state assessments in Math, English Language Arts, and Science.
- Academic Progress – The state plans to weigh academic growth greater than proficiency at 35%. Growth will only apply to elementary and middle school. The state will calculate growth using student growth percentiles and adequate growth percentiles.
- Graduation Rate – The state will incorporate 4- and 5-year graduation rates.
- English Language Proficiency – The state will use adequate growth percentiles (AGP) for student performance on the WIDA ACCESS assessment at all three school levels. Growth to target calculations are set to five years or by the twelfth grade.
- Closing Opportunity Gaps – The state will assign a 20% weight to this indicator. Elementary and middle schools will measure the percentage of students meeting their Adequate Growth Percentile who did not pass the state assessment from the previous year. High schools will use the 4-year cohort graduation rate from the previous school year.
- Student engagement – Elementary schools will calculate chronic absenteeism and school climate. Middle schools will calculate chronic absenteeism, school climate, a measure of high school readiness, and a percentage of students with academic learning plans. High schools will calculate chronic absenteeism and school climate. This measure accounts for 10% for each level.
- College and Career Readiness – This indicator for high schools consists of five measures: Average ACT Composite Score, Post-secondary Pathways Options (including AP / IB / Dual Credit and industry-aligned and state board-approved career and technical education (CTE) credentials), Ninth and Tenth Grade Credit Sufficiency, Academic Learning Plans, and percentage of students achieving college and career readiness status on the math, science, or ELA end-of-course exams. The indicator will contribute 25% to the total index score. There is an additional added value for pathway diploma options for students pursuing college and career options. Specifically, standard diplomas are worth a value of 1, while college-endorsed or career-endorsed diplomas earn a value of 1.25.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Nevada is thinking through strategies to improve the preparation, recruitment, evaluation, development, and retention of effective educators so that teachers are ready to meet the needs of 21st century classrooms.

Supporting All Students

Nevada Ready 21 engages middle school students in a personalized, learner-centered education by infusing technology into students' daily experience. It's a multi-year plan focusing on middle schools first and eventually expanding to high schools.

For the high school to post-secondary transition, Nevada offers CTE and the Jobs for America's Graduates program that prepares students with work readiness skills and helps with enrollment in post-secondary education or the military.

The state's Safe and Wide Learning Environment Initiative helps schools foster safe climates and build social-emotional skills for students.

Districts receive funding to contract with social workers or mental health workers.

Districts across the state, including rural, are supported to offer AP, IB, dual credit, and CTE.

In collaboration with American Institutes for Research, students in grades 5-12 take a statewide School Climate/Social and Emotional Learning Survey to serve as the needs assessment for social workers.

A dashboard will be created to assess the extent to which LEAs provide students with a well-rounded education (rigorous academics, CTE programs, health and wellness programs, advanced and accelerated learning options like AP and gifted programs, IB, dual credit, music and arts programs, culturally relevant experiences, athletics, and educational technology).

Migratory students who are identified as "priority for service" (failing or at risk of failing) have individual education plans.

For students who are neglected, delinquent, or at-risk, one objective is to provide individualized educational experiences.

Learn More

Find more information on Nevada's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at http://www.doe.nv.gov/Boards_Commissions_Councils/ESSA_Adv_Group/ESSA_Advisory_Group/.

New Hampshire: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of New Hampshire has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

New Hampshire is committed to raising the bar for all students by defining college and career readiness as the knowledge, skills, and work-study practices needed for post-secondary success. This includes not only high levels of academic proficiency, but also deeper skills, such as critical thinking, problem-solving, persistence, communication, and collaboration.

As part of this shift in orientation, New Hampshire supports a competency-based approach to instruction, learning, and assessment. New Hampshire understands competency-based learning, or personalized learning, as defined as: "... a structure that creates flexibility, allows students to progress as they demonstrate mastery of academic content, regardless of time, place or pace of learning." This approach supports high levels of student engagement in learning with the goal of significant improvements in college and career readiness.

Long Term Goals

New Hampshire will set goals for and report both the four- and five-year adjusted cohort graduation rates. The four-year rate will be 93.96% by 2025. The draft does not list the target goal for the five-year rate at this time. The state will include the five-year extended graduation rate as the graduation rate accountability indicator in the annual system of meaningful differentiation, but the long-term goals at the state-level are set using both the four- and five-year adjusted cohort graduation rates so that reporting will occur against these goals and interim targets. The inclusion of the five-year extended graduation rate aligns to New Hampshire's State Performance Plan for IDEA.

Academic Assessments

The academic achievement for schools participating in New Hampshire's Performance Assessment of Competency Education (PACE) pilot will use the results of the Smarter Balanced and SAT assessments in grade 3 ELA, grade 4 math, grade 8 ELA and math, and grade 11 ELA and math, respectively. In all of the other tested grades and subjects, the academic achievement will be based on the results of the PACE assessments as documented by numerous reports already provided to the U.S. Department of Education.

Accountability

New Hampshire's vision for state accountability rests on the idea of creating a complete and transparent system of internal control, or reciprocal accountability. To operationalize a truly reciprocal accountability system, every stakeholder holding expectations of the education system, is likewise responsible for its own contribution to the system.

The New Hampshire DOE's specific role in this reciprocal accountability system will be to:

1. Underscore the use of evidence-based frameworks as best practice for creating and sustaining educational environments that are personalized to the needs of each learner.
2. Support local educational agencies as they work to support parents and students and increase access to effective, personalized, and rigorous learning experiences.
3. While prioritizing the role of parents in the education of their children, listen to input from a diverse group of stakeholders including, but not limited to, families, students, school staff, district staff, policymakers, business organizations, and staff at the New Hampshire DOE to inform all facets of education for New Hampshire students.

New Hampshire has decided to report all indicators on a 1-4 scale for ease and transparency of reporting. A student scoring at Level 4 on a Smarter Balanced assessment, for example, would generate 4 points for the school, a student scoring a 3 would generate 3 points for the school, and so on. An index system provides incentives for schools to move students across all levels whereas simple percent proficient systems only reward schools for moving students from Level 2 to Level 3.

The New Hampshire ESSA Accountability Task Force reaffirmed its desire to continue to use the Student Growth Percentile (SGP) model as the basis for the growth indicator for elementary and middle schools. The mean SGP (MGP) is calculated for each school and evaluated against the following rubric. The growth of schools participating in the PACE pilot will be evaluated using a value table approach.

New Hampshire will calculate and report the 4-year ACGR and the extended 5-year ACGR for all schools and for each subgroup within schools. The state will use the 5-year ACGR to create an index comprising four performance levels.

As its additional indicator of student success in the elementary and middle school model, New Hampshire will use an indicator that focuses additional attention on the growth of the lowest achieving students in each school. This indicator is designed to emphasize competency-based learning and the importance of not letting students fall behind.

For high schools, the additional indicator of student success is a career and college readiness (CCR) indicator. All graduating seniors will be eligible for counting as postsecondary ready by meeting any two of the following nine requirements

- Completion of a New Hampshire Scholars program of study (Standard, STEM, or Arts).
- A grade of C or better in a dual-enrollment course.
- SAT scores meeting or exceeding the college and career ready benchmark (480 in Evidence-Based Reading and Writing and 530 in Math).
- ACT scores meeting or exceeding the college and career ready benchmark (18 in English, 22 in Mathematics, 22 in Reading, and 23 in Science).
- A score of 3, 4, or 5 on an AP exam.
- A score of 5, 6, or 7 on an IB exam.
- Earning a CTE industry-recognized credential.
- Completion of New Hampshire career pathway program of study.

- Scoring at least Level III on components of the ASVAB that comprise the Armed Forces Qualifying Test (AFQT).

The total number of graduating seniors meeting at least one of these requirements will be divided by the total number of students in the cohort to form the career and college ready index for schools. As with the other indicators, the CCR score for schools will be indexed into four performance levels.

New Hampshire will identify elementary and middle schools for improvement based on 60% growth and 40% achievement. For high schools, it will look at 60% achievement and 40% college and career readiness.

Support / Improvement for Schools

The early results from New Hampshire's Performance Assessment of Competency Education (PACE) pilot program indicate that PACE has been effective for students typically at risk for subpar performance. PACE is built on a "reciprocal" approach to accountability where the state is a full partner with districts to provide the training and support necessary to help the districts shift to competency-based educational approaches focused on deeper learning for students. PACE training and support may be a solution and will be considered for districts with a high percentage of schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) and/or Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI).

TSI schools will be required to work with their district leadership to establish an improvement plan that establishes strategies for improving the performance of underperforming subgroups. These plans will be reviewed and approved by the LEA. While the LEA is responsible for supporting identified schools, New Hampshire DOE will support districts with identified schools in developing personalized learning approaches that focus on maximizing the learning of each student. Such approaches could include participation in the PACE initiative, the development of personalized learning plans, providing opportunities for extended learning, providing opportunities to demonstrate competence on assessment tied to the specific learning goals, and closely monitoring the progress of each student's learning against his/her personalized learning plan.

The New Hampshire DOE will evaluate the use of school improvement funds by LEAs using a variety of tools and services including a Tri-Annual Review process, an annual self-evaluation tool, and onsite visits. The Tri-Annual Review process includes school leadership and personnel from all schools identified for CSI or TSI who come together three times a year as a cohort. Through this collaborative process, educators not only look at improvement plans from across the state, but they also evaluate those plans for effectiveness, ensure that the plans address each school's gaps based off their needs assessment and environmental scan, validate whether or not schools are implementing evidence-based practices with fidelity, and ultimately score the effectiveness of each school's plan and implementation.

The annual self-evaluation tool is an iterative process that will allow schools to review different pieces of data tied to their school program outlined in their comprehensive innovation plan,

which is based off the needs assessment and gap analysis. Schools will reflect on the effectiveness of their practices and record desired changes to their improvement plan, which will then lead to programming and budgetary updates in the Online Grant Management System for the following year.

Finally, because New Hampshire is such a small state, we are fortunate to have regional Education Consultants (New Hampshire DOE staff members) who have close connections with the LEAs with whom they work. There is ongoing monitoring and technical support provided during the period in which a school is implementing programs and supports using school improvement funds. The New Hampshire DOE will set aside five percent of school improvement funds to carry out activities supporting LEAs and the use of these funds.

Supporting Excellent Educators

In an effort to create learner-responsive educators, New Hampshire is building a system of integrated support across the continuum of a teacher's career. Truly impacting P-20 and economic outcomes for the state requires a deep and ongoing commitment to supporting all educators, regardless of where they are within their careers. To design and execute ongoing systems of support, relationships among higher education, the New Hampshire DOE, and K-12 schools must be strong. Strong efforts are already underway to build new P-20 relationships and enhance those that already exist.

Current leadership opportunities in New Hampshire begin with the New Hampshire IHE (Institutions of Higher Education) Network, whose members bring significant knowledge, experiences, and resources and have convened a Leadership Preparation Programs Committee to assure that programs in the state prepare educational leaders with the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to lead school communities. This group's purpose is to: understand the emerging demands on school leaders in greater depth; identify the signature approaches of leadership preparation programs in the state related to becoming a principal; specifically as a means of identifying and studying effective practices; identify and study effective change leadership principals in New Hampshire in order to describe their skills, practices and challenges; as well as to organize the IHE Network with partner organizations in ways that will enable shared learning and improvement. The IHE Network believes that this will lay the groundwork for new collective and institutional approaches preparing the leaders that have the knowledge base including a level of understanding and proficiency that supports competency-based and personalized learning that allows them to strongly support the developing talents of teachers as they engage in this model of learning and assessment practices.

Supporting All Students

New Hampshire is very engaged in transition-focused education that keeps the focus on the student's journey to adulthood. "Transition" begins when students enter the public school from a home or child care setting and continue throughout the students' educational careers. Transition can mean moving from middle school to high school, from high school to college, from a residential program to home, from school to work, and more. Transition planning involves gathering student and family focused age-appropriate transition information that is used to: a.) identify the student's preferences, interests, choices, and needs; b) reflect a summary of

student's present level of academic achievement and functional performance; and c) be used as a basis for the development of measurable postsecondary goals in the IEP.

Elements of transition planning include:

- Age-appropriate transition assessment is a process. No one single instrument will provide all the information you need.
- Career assessments (including curriculum-based and situational assessment).
- Interest inventories.
- Values inventories.
- Academic, cognitive, and adaptive behavior assessments.

The New Hampshire DOE supports schools in the design and implementation of local transition strategies by leveraging and building upon several existing resources, tools and partnerships to support student success, including New Hampshire Kindergarten Readiness Indicators, Schools Ready! New Hampshire Kindergarten Transition Self-Assessment, New Hampshire's state-level partnerships with IDEA, Spark New Hampshire and Head Start Collaboration, New England League of Middle Schools, New Hampshire Next Steps, Project RENEW, Extended Learning Opportunities (ELO's) and vocational rehabilitation. The support for transitions continues at the secondary level with a vision for high school redesign that encompasses the creation of learning communities in which every participant is actively involved in the process of learning. New Hampshire's goal is that each student will receive a rigorous and personalized education.

New Hampshire postsecondary priorities include:

- Supporting the development and implementation of high school course-level competencies;
- Providing technical assistance and tools to implement Extended Learning Opportunities;
- Providing multiple pathways for students to experience their learning in a personalized environment;
- Connecting to drop-out prevention, recovery, and Adult Education initiatives;
- Providing multi-state opportunities through the New England Secondary School Consortium;
- Connecting to education technology for 21st century high schools;
- Highlighting charter schools' promising practices; and
- Supporting teacher/leader development initiatives.

New Hampshire has legislation allowing for credit-bearing learning outside the classroom that dates back to 2005. In 2008, the New Hampshire DOE began a multi-year Extended Learning Opportunities (ELO) Initiative with funding from the Nellie Mae Education Foundation (NMEF). Since then, the initiative has provided financial support and technical assistance to ELO pilot sites, facilitating development of school-level systems to provide students of all types with the opportunity to experience an ELO project.

The state will use its Title IV state-level funds to gather stakeholder feedback regarding the activities and initiatives supported under this grant, and to prepare resources and materials that schools can use in their initiatives to support evidenced-based practices in the following areas:

- personalized educational experiences;
- career pathways;
- dual-enrollment programs;
- multi-tiered system of support for behavior and wellness;
- technology instruction, data security and the acquisition and implementation of technology tools and applications.

New Hampshire's 21st Century Community Learning Centers program is designed to support mastery of academic subjects and provide students with a well-rounded education through support for learning and expanded learning opportunities.

Learn More

Find more information on New Hampshire's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://www.education.nh.gov/essa/>.

New Jersey: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Long-Term Goals

New Jersey will use baseline data from each school to determine unique annual growth targets to ensure each high school is on pace to achieve the long-term goal of 80% proficiency in all subgroups and 95% graduation rate by 2030. The state will include five-year graduation rates in its accountability system. The five-year graduation rate target will always be one percent higher than the four-year graduation target for the same year.

The department will investigate the benefit of adding an extended-year graduation rate of six or seven years as part of its continuous improvement process.

Academic Assessments

The department will continue allowing all middle school students, not just 8th grade students, to advanced mathematics assessments, reflecting a recommendation from stakeholders to continue supporting growth and development of all students, including those who are high performing.

Accountability

The state will measure academic progress through a student growth percentile for grades 4-8 in ELA and grades 4-7 in math at 40% of the accountability score. The state will measure graduation rate, both 4- and 5-year rates, at the same 40% weight for the high school level. (The 4- and 5- year rates will be weighed equally within the measure.) Academic achievement for all levels (grades 3-10) will be weighed at 30%.

Supporting Excellent Educators

As a part of the state's effort to decrease the opportunity gap for middle school students seeking to take Algebra I, the department of education will provide technical support and guidance for school districts as well as professional learning opportunities to focus a shift in instructional practices. The department plans to develop multiple model course pathways, or accelerated progressions of learning, for middle schools that allow eighth grade students to complete Algebra I, and provide pathway exemplars and professional learning opportunities to support the implementation of each model course pathway. This effort will include design of an Algebra I standards-based curriculum with frequent formative assessments to monitor student progress throughout the year as well as a professional development plan that is responsive to the varied needs of Algebra I teachers.

Pending available Title II, Part A funds, New Jersey will fund investments in data literacy for better-informed decision-making both at the state and in school districts. The state believes in the importance of data literacy and using data as a means of continuous improvement through the cycle of teaching and learning where students are.

If sufficient Title II, Part A funding exists, the state plans to supplement and enhance its current focus on the use of instructional technology by better training teachers in integrating technology into instruction and promoting digital literacy for their students. The state will create online support tools to improve the instructional strategies and professional development of teachers, principals and other stakeholders with the goal of increasing student use of technology to improve learning. The result is that students benefit from effective, real-time feedback about their learning. By utilizing formative assessments, teachers can check whether or not students understand a concept and can quickly adjust instruction based on responses.

The use of adaptive technology software is an important aspect of personalized and differentiated learning to match students' needs and tailor learning to their interests. As educators are encouraged to use real-time effective feedback and digital personalized learning tools, they will require additional training opportunities. Using Title II funds, the state will provide job-embedded training opportunities, including a suite of materials, software, online learning modules, etc. Intentional use of technology in the classroom will enhance instruction in terms of quality, rigor, questioning strategies and overall intellectual engagement of students.

Supporting All Students

The state is working to drastically improve school performance reports to ensure all students have access to a well-rounded, rigorous education. For instance, the new reports will be provided in more languages than just English, and will report dis-aggregated data on access to and participation in AP/IB, as well as performing arts and CTE course work. (Right now, data is presented in aggregate and does not provide information to districts about who is able to access these courses.) By making meaningful improvements to the quality of the school performance reports, the state will empower school communities to have honest conversations about which students are being served equitably and will enable schools to shift efforts and funds accordingly to meet the needs of all students.

To maximize the use of Title IV, Part A funds, the state will encourage districts to partner with entities, including: nonprofits, higher education institutions, museums, libraries and community organizations to expand upon programs and services offered to students. The state already has begun, through ESSA outreach and additional state-level committees and advisory groups, to collaborate with various organizations and community groups that are best positioned to provide support and services to districts with particular needs. For example, the department has begun working with Advocates for Children of New Jersey, Paterson Education Fund and other organizations that can directly connect schools and districts to resources and trainings meant to help increase student attendance.

The state is finalizing the development of social emotional learning competencies and support materials to promote positive school climates and more positive approaches to improving student behavior. For the past two years, a state-level working group of stakeholders well-versed in social and emotional needs reviewed research, examined standards in other states and developed the competencies and support materials with the goal of providing schools with practical resources that can be implemented with fidelity and sustained to support the positive development of students. It is possible that funds to support a well-rounded education will go to

the implementation of social and emotional learning competencies through regional professional development opportunities or webinars.

The state will consider using some funds to develop a series of online digital learning professional development modules to demonstrate how to effectively use technology to enhance discrete, research-based instructional strategies and develop digital literacy for all students. This includes an instructional emphasis on using data to driving instruction, and improving discussion, questioning, and intellectual engagement for students through the strategic use of technology.

The state's service delivery plan for migratory students includes incorporating life skills instruction into curriculum using site-based, workplace-based, or home-based modules.

New Jersey requires its 21st Century Community Learning Centers to focus on either STEM, civic engagement, career awareness and exploration, or visual and performing arts as a way to provide a seamless continuum of educational experiences and integrate cross-content and skills.

Learn More

Find more information on New Jersey's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.state.nj.us/education/ESSA/>.

New Mexico: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Long-Term Goals

New Mexico's long term goal for academic proficiency is that the current lowest performing subgroup must have an academic proficiency rate of 50% by 2022, while simultaneous gains in academic proficiency amongst all groups of students should be on near-parallel tracks. The rate of student growth in academic proficiency varies between each subgroup in order to ensure that all of New Mexico's children are beyond 50% academic proficiency (with statewide averages of 64.9% in reading and 61.2% in mathematics) by 2022.

New Mexico's long term goal for graduation is that more than 84.5% of the class of 2022 will graduate high school in four years, more than 88% of the class of 2021 will graduate high school in five years, and more than 90% of the class of 2020 will graduate high school in six years.

The state will establish yearly English Language Proficiency (ELP) growth targets so schools have a ready tool for identifying students who are on track to meet their timeline for Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) status and those who may need additional language supports or targeted intervention to meet those goals. These annual growth targets will be based on two important student characteristics known to impact the ability for an EL to become proficient in English: the student's grade level at entry and their English proficiency at entry. Any student who is meeting his or her annual goal, is on target to being RFEP in a judicious amount of time, exited from EL status appropriately, and able to advance academically with their peers, and in many cases outperform them. The use of annual ELP growth targets also ensures that schools are not motivated to prematurely exit students, which could lead to negative future academic consequences if those students are not provided appropriate supports through reclassification to RFEP status and for a minimum of two years afterward. The state has established a 2% growth rate for its long-term goal resulting in a 12% increase by 2022 to 55% proficient.

Accountability

The framework for the New Mexico School Grading system recognizes that school performance should be assessed within three overarching categories:

1. Student academic performance or proficiency;
2. Student achievement growth; and
3. Other indicators of school quality that contribute to college and career readiness.

Indicators include:

- Academic Proficiency - As in previous years the grade levels and subject areas assessed remain stable for 2018-2019 and beyond.
- Academic Growth - Growth is applied both at the school level (School Growth) and at the individual student level (Student Growth). To ensure that the state's

historically high-performing students are making significant learning gains, school grading will broaden the focus of student growth to include a new sub-indicator that represents the highest performing quartile (25%) of students. Student growth will result from a composite of lowest quartile (25%), middle two quartiles (50%), and highest quartile (25%) of students, with the three complementary groups weighted progressively less in value.

- Graduation Rate - The graduation component of school grading consists of four measures that integrate not only current graduation rates but also extended rates along with growth in rates over a 3-year span. The 4-year rate is weighted the most heavily and forms the basis for graduation growth. The extended year rates, 5-year and 6-year, are weighted relatively less but are nonetheless important to high schools that focus on programs such as credit-recovery and returning adult students. The growth in 4-year rates similarly incentivizes these schools that work with underserved populations to work toward timely graduation goals.
- Student STEM Readiness - A new indicator for Science will be included - drawing primarily upon student performance on statewide science assessments, but also considering overall student engagement in STEM fields. The state will continue to engage educators, as well as business and industry, in the development of this new indicator.
- English Language Progress - A growth-to-proficiency indicator will be included for the acquisition of English Language Proficiency, with a weight of up to 10% of impacted schools' ratings. Baseline data will be solidified, collected, and shared with the field over the next eighteen months.
- Opportunity to Learn – While the state has administered an opportunity to learn survey, the state plans to explore new survey instruments that have broader application to learning climate, academic achievement, engagement, and self-efficacy.
- Chronic Absenteeism - Through school year 2017-2018, the state will report habitual truancy for students who have accumulated the equivalent of 10 or more full-day unexcused absences within a school year. Beginning in 2018-2019 the state proposes to expand this measure to account for all absences both unexcused and excused.
- College and Career Readiness - For the 2018-2019 system, the Public Education Department (PED) will refine the definition of this component to ensure the highest standards for all students. Indicators such as college remediation and college persistence will be considered, as will newly-developing indicators in Career and Technical Education (CTE) fields.

Support / Improvement for Schools

With a target goal of 2021, the Public Education Department (PED) is shifting from quarterly to nightly data submissions from districts in its Student Teacher Accountability Reporting System (STARS). The real-time data will enhance the PED's ability to monitor compliance and manage program outcomes. Real-time data will be validated as they are submitted and then quickly made available through automated reports to PED bureaus, districts, and other stakeholders.

Additionally, in an effort to promote continuous improvement, the PED will offer technical assistance in the form of professional development, individualized virtual and onsite training, and personalized phone calls and emails to help districts approve program activities and determine fiscal decisions.

All LEAs and schools in New Mexico will utilize the NM DASH (Data, Accountability, Sustainability, and High Achievement), a web-based tool for developing school improvement plans and identifying evidence- or research-based interventions. The PED provides a differentiated approach of support aligned with organizational conditions necessary for turnaround success. To support its lowest performing schools Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), the district must address the following:

- Leadership - Districts must commit to lead for success by identifying priorities, aligning resources, investing in change that is sustainable, and clearly and consistently communicating that change is not optional.
- Differentiated Support and Accountability - To achieve ambitious results, districts committed to turnaround must prioritize low performing schools and provide both additional, core support beyond what non-turnaround schools receive and individualized supports aligned with unique school needs, including the identification of resource inequities.
- Talent Management - Public education is human capital intensive and efforts to turnaround low-performing schools must prioritize how talent policies and approaches will be bolstered to support turnaround.
- Instructional Infrastructure - Districts often have invested heavy resources in producing curriculum and data that teachers either do not have the capacity, understanding, or willingness to use. Districts must own this challenge and create an instructional infrastructure where data is well organized and the pathway on how to use data to adapt instruction is clear.

LEAs with schools identified as CSI are eligible to apply for additional funding through a competitive grant process. LEAs must demonstrate that they have the organizational conditions necessary for turnaround success when applying to participate in the following evidence-based school turnaround programs:

- University of Virginia School Turnaround Program
- National Institute for School Leadership Executive Development Program
- New Mexico Leadership Innovation Program.

New Mexico will take advantage of the Direct Student Services Opportunity to partner with districts to re-think the use of Title I funds. The PED will provide preference to schools that are classified as either “Comprehensive Support and Improvement” or “Targeted Support and Improvement.” In addition, the PED will align funding opportunities with the broader human capital strategies currently underway at the state level, including programs such as Principals Pursuing Excellence and Teachers Pursuing Excellence, as well as our Title II, Part A strategy of expanding access to great teachers and leaders under the Excellent Educators for All Plan.

New Mexico will focus its Direct Student Services approach on five areas, and will preference (via competitive grant) those that are most aligned to the state's academic needs, including:

- Extended learning time opportunities
- AP Course Access
- Other Course Access (CTE, dual credit, credit recovery)
- K-3 Literacy and Mathematics
- Pre-K Services
- Personalized Learning (Linking to Title II and IV funds)
- Student transportation (school choice)

Supporting Excellent Educators

PED will reserve the additional 3% of Title II, Part A to support innovative teacher and school leader preparation programs that engage research-evidenced practices to focus on producing teachers that are DAY 1 ready for the classroom.

Supporting All Students

New Mexico requires that each student develop a Next Step Plan (NSP) beginning at age 14. This plan is a personal, written plan that is developed by each student at the end of grades 8 through 11 and during their senior year. The purpose of the plan is to target the student's postsecondary interests and establish a plan of studies he or she will complete during high school in order to be on track for graduation and begin preparation for college or the workplace. The student reviews and updates his or her NSP annually, and each year's plan must explain any differences from the previous years.

The PED plans to continue to provide both direct funding and professional development to support dual credit and AP programs. New Mexico has seen remarkable growth since 2010 in both of these acceleration programs, with the number of students taking AP exams increasing by 90%, and the number of students taking dual credit courses increasing by 73%.

School districts and charter schools will leverage funding from Title I, Part A; Title II; Title III; and Title IV, Part A in order to provide the most effective technological platform to increase student learning. The PED will continue to work with districts to ensure they understand how funds can be used to implement blended learning strategies that combine technology-based and face-to-face instruction so students in remote, rural schools can take AP and other advanced STEM courses where the local LEA does not have the resources to provide those courses in the regular school curriculum. Educators in rural districts will be provided with the professional development necessary to support these blended learning strategies. Further, utilizing Title IV, Part A funds to promote intra-agency collaboration, the PED will facilitate statewide Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) of STEM educators in which teachers in small, rural schools can meet using technology to discuss research and so that evidence-based practices that are being successfully implemented in some New Mexico schools can be replicated in others.

The state will use its Title I, Part C funds for the Migrant Education Program to, in part, implement an innovative technology integration program to increase student achievement in reading and math and student engagement in school.

Learn More

Find more information on New Mexico's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/ESSA.html>.

New York: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of New York has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

To ensure that every child has equitable access to the highest quality educational opportunities, services, and supports in schools that provide effective instruction aligned to the state's standards, as well as positive learning environments so that each child is prepared for success in college, career, and citizenship.

Long Term Goals

New York will use a performance index to indicate long-term and interim goals in academic achievement. The state will set a new long-term goal each year so that the long-term goal is always established five years into the future. For example, following the 2017-18 school year, a new long-term goal for the 2022-23 school year will be set and the 2021-22 school year long-term goal will become the measure of interim progress for that year. This methodology allows the long-term goals to be adjusted to reflect the rapidity with which schools and subgroups are making progress toward achieving the end goal score in the performance index. The state will follow a similar process for determining long-term and interim goals for graduation rates.

New York will use four-, five-, and six-year adjusted cohort graduation rates. The state will use a gap reduction methodology explicitly designed to ensure that those subgroups with the largest gaps must show the greatest gains in terms of achieving the measures of interim progress and the long-term goals.

Academic Assessments

New York allows local school districts to determine if 7th grade students, in addition to 8th grade students, are ready to begin high school level math courses, and will continue to do so under ESSA.

The state also plans to apply for the Innovative Assessment and Accountability Demonstration Authority when an application becomes available.

Accountability

Consistent with the state's long-term goals, New York uses Performance Indices in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary/middle school level and English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies at the high school level to measure academic achievement.

The Performance Index is based upon measures of proficiency on state assessments, and when finalized, separates schools into four categorical accountability scores. The performance index gives schools "partial credit" for students who are partially proficient (Accountability Level 2), "full credit" for students who are proficient (Accountability Level 3), and "extra credit" for students who are advanced (Accountability Level 4). The Performance Index will be a number

between 0-250. In a school in which all of the students are proficient, the school would have an Index of 200. In a school in which half of the students were proficient and half of the students were partially proficient, the Index would be 150.

At the high school level, New York will use a College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index as a measure of school quality and student success. The Index will give credit to schools for students who pass high school courses and additional credit for students who achieve specified scores on nationally recognized exams associated with these courses or who earn college credit for participation in a dual enrollment course. By including this indicator as a measure of school quality and student success, the state will encourage more schools to offer advanced coursework to more students. Additional elements of the index will include successful completion of a career technical course of study, receipt of an industry-recognized credential, and completion of the Seal of Biliteracy. Alternative means to create an indicator of civic engagement will also be pursued.

The state will consider additional school quality and student success measures over time, and will also publish a set of indicators that highlight school conditions necessary to give students opportunities to learn.

The department will develop a dashboard that will be used to provide stakeholders with a transparent and intuitive way to assess the performance of schools in relation to a variety of metrics that include both those that are used for accountability and those that measure important aspects of schooling, but are not appropriate to be used for high stakes decisions.

Support / Improvement for Schools

As a way to provide parents from all Comprehensive Support and Improvement schools with choices in their child's education, New York will dedicate funds to all Comprehensive Support and Improvement schools and require that they implement a participatory budgeting process that allows parents to help determine how these funds are spent. As part of the participatory budgeting process, parents will help determine the most appropriate ways for the school to spend the funds connected to the results of the school's needs assessment.

New York has found that those receiving school improvement funds need flexibility. Therefore, the state has adopted a holistic approach toward the use of school improvement funds. New York State has found that this flexibility is necessary and consistent with its expectations that school improvement expenditures result in tangible improvements. In order to monitor for improved outcomes, the state must ensure that schools and districts have ownership over the spending choices they have made.

Supporting Excellent Educators

In New York, a vast majority of teacher preparation candidates attend programs and become teachers in the same region. Therefore, the state is encouraging the creation of P-20 partnerships that allow school districts and Boards of Cooperative Education Services to work with institutions of higher education and other preparation program providers on efforts to recruit and prepare educators to meet specific district needs.

Supporting All Students

New York uses a number of strategies to ease the transitions in secondary school. The Smart Scholars Early College High School Program allows high school students to earn up to 60 transferable college credits. The NYS Pathways in Technology initiative is a 6-year program in collaboration with an institution of higher education and an industry partner to have students graduate high school with an associate's degree and an offer of employment. The My Brother's Keeper Grant Program funds school districts to implement designated milestones from pre-k through college and workforce entry.

The state will create personalized learning plans for out-of-school youth and students who have dropped out of school and graduation plans for migratory students in grades 9-12.

Within five years, all county jails in New York will transition from providing primarily High School Equivalency focused instruction to providing multiple pathways for students to attain a regular high school diploma and/or the skills necessary to gain employment to students in their care.

New York will leverage programmatic and fiscal supports to increase the number of schools across the state that demonstrate certain characteristics. These characteristics include:

- All students receive curricula in all disciplines that are challenging, engaging, and integrated. The curricula are tied to appropriate formative and summative assessments, which are aligned to New York State learning standards.
- The school community identifies, promotes, and supports social, emotional, physical, and cognitive development throughout the school day. These efforts lead to students' developing social emotional skills and barriers to learning being removed.
- The school has active partnerships that are culturally and linguistically inclusive and in which families, students, community members and school staff respectfully collaborate. These partnerships support student academic progress, social-emotional growth, well being, and personal and civic responsibility, so that students have the opportunity to reach their full potential.
- The school community identifies, promotes, and supports multiple pathways to graduation and career readiness based on individual strengths, needs, interests, and aspirations. These pathways create access to multiple opportunities for students to pursue advanced coursework and actively explore and/or pursue specific career-related coursework and experiences in the arts, languages and Career and Technical Education.

In order to ensure that all students benefit from important community-school partnerships, the state will require schools identified for Comprehensive or Targeted Support and Intervention to create plans that incorporate input from community partners that work in the school or with students in a community-based setting.

New York is considering additional non-academic measures of student outcomes, such as social emotional assessments, as a result of participation in 21st CCLC programming.

Learn More

Find more information on New York's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/essa.html>.

North Carolina: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of North Carolina has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Accountability

The state will use four- and five- year adjusted cohort graduation rates in its accountability system.

Support / Improvement for Schools

A redefined statewide system of support and improvement helps state, district, and school leadership analyze data and target resources and support in real-time. A Senior Leadership Council meets quarterly to identify districts and schools for the most intensive support. Regional Service Support Teams meet monthly to analyze data and provide targeted support and resources to low-performing schools for school improvement activities. Low-performing schools track progress in real-time using a web-based management tool that permits schools to personalize their school improvement plans to meet their needs.

Supporting Excellent Educators

NCDPI launched 94 online learning modules for educators including self-paced, facilitated and mini-modules. A Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) is also offered, which allows a large number of participants to learn together. These courses are free for NC educators and afford them the opportunity to learn at their own pace, at any time and often with an online coach.

In 2017, the state will roll out the North Carolina Digital Learning Competencies for Classroom Teachers and School Administrators. These competencies provide a framework for schools of education, school administrators, and classroom teachers on the needed skills to provide high-quality, integrated digital teaching and learning.

Supporting All Students

As part of the 21st Century Community Learning Center grants, grantees have access to a menu of high quality professional development and technical assistance supports for family and community engagement.

Learn More

Find more information on North Carolina's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.dpi.state.nc.us/succeeds/>.

North Dakota: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Vision

The state's vision is that "all students will graduate choice ready with the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to be successful in whatever they choose to do, whether they pursue a post-secondary degree, enroll in a technical college, enter the workforce, or join the military." The overarching objective of North Dakota's State ESSA Plan is to ensure every school's graduation rate matches its Choice Ready rate, thus eliminating the honesty gap, so students, parents and the state are able to confidently place high value on the diplomas received.

Long Term Goals

The state will retain its 90% graduation goal and its primary growth criteria for determining whether schools have achieved sufficient growth in their graduation rates over time. North Dakota will use 4-, 5-, and 6-year graduation rates, and will establish unique targets for growth in each of those respective years. The 4-year growth rate target will be 10%, five-year extended graduation rate growth target will be 12.5%, and the 6-year extended graduation rate will use a 15% growth target. Meeting the goal or target rate for any of the 4-, 5-, or 6-year extended graduation rates would mean the school or district has met its absolute or growth goal.

Accountability

North Dakota's accountability system will include the following measures: proficiency in mathematics and ELA measured at 30% at the elementary level and 25% at the high school level; growth in mathematics and ELA using an index growth model weighted at 30% at the elementary level; achievement of English Language proficiency; progress in achieving English Language proficiency; student engagement through surveys measured at 30% at the elementary level and 22% at the high school level. At the high school level only, 4-, 5-, and 6-year graduation rates for high schools measured at 13%; growth and "choice ready" are measured together for high schools, weighed at 22%.

"Choice Ready" is defined under North Dakota's Choice Ready Framework as "all students will graduate choice ready with the knowledge, skills, and disposition to be successful in whatever they choose to do, whether they pursue a postsecondary degree, enroll in a technical college, enter the workforce, or join the military." This new element is designed to ensure that students are equipped to pursue the option of their choice upon graduating from high school.

Under this component, all students will have to meet the following:

- Perform at the state established cut scores for ACT, SAT, CLEM/CREAM, or the State Assessment English/Math 3
- Complete at least two of the following skills indicators: 25 hours of community service, 95% attendance rate, two or more years in extracurricular activities, and two or more years in co-curricular activities.

In addition, students will need to meet specific requirements depending upon their chosen pathway.

College Ready:

- An ACT composite score of 22 or higher
- 2.8 GPA or higher

And at least two additional indicators from below:

- Earned a C or higher in an AP course
- Earned a C or higher in a math or English Language Arts dual credit course
- Earned a C or higher in Algebra II
- Earned 3 or higher on an AP exam
- Earned a 4 or higher on an IB exam
- Earned a 3.0 or higher in the core course requirements for university admission

Career Ready:

- Earned a 2.8 GPA or higher in CTE Pathway
- Complete 2 credits in a Coordinated Plan of Study

And at least two additional indicators from below:

- Career Ready Practices (3.0)
- 75 hours in a work-based learning experience
- Earned a C or higher in a dual credit course
- Workkeys (Gold or Silver)
- Technical Assessment/Industry Credential

Military Ready:

- ASVAB Score of 31 or higher
- Quality citizenship (as measured by expulsions or suspensions of zero)
- Physically fit as deemed by physical education instructor
- And complete any two additional indicators from career or college ready that they have identified.

Supporting All Students

North Dakota's State Superintendent has set five priority areas that guide the state's work to help students achieve the state's vision of being choice ready. Included in these priorities is continuous improvement, equity, and local educational opportunities. Under the Continuous Improvement priority, all schools are expected to participate in a continuous improvement cycle that serves as the basis for school improvement. The AdvanceED system the state plans to use will help provide high-quality instruction and interventions matched to each student's needs.

Included in North Dakota's Equity priority is the need for well-rounded education, including competency-based learning, STEAM, career and technology education, and 21st century skills. The state has prioritized Local Educational Opportunities, including the need to support school districts in a variety of academic, cross curricular, and CTE opportunities, especially in their secondary school experiences. Programs include CTE options and career pathways, health and wellness programs, advanced coursework options (e.g. AP, IB, dual credit, early entry), music and arts programs, competency-based initiatives, STEAM education, internships, educational technology opportunities, and all the necessary supports.

North Dakota supports school districts in leveraging educational technology opportunities to support students in becoming digitally literate, and to provide learning opportunities not afforded them otherwise. The North Dakota Center for Distance Education provides direct access to courses such as advanced coursework, STEM experiences, and credit-recovery or developmental coursework, especially for students living in the state's most rural areas.

The state will encourage schools to incorporate STEM and STEAM learning strategies, competency-based learning programs, and project-based learning frameworks to promote student engagement in a variety of learning mediums and demonstrate proficiency in nontraditional ways. The state will support districts that develop comprehensive learning plans that demonstrate innovative practices and increase rigorous learning for their students.

Learn More

Find more information on North Dakota's ESSA-related work, and for a link to the most update state plan, at <https://www.nd.gov/dpi/SchoolStaff/SSI/ESSA/>.

Ohio: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Ohio has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long Term Goals

The state will incorporate 4- and 5-year graduation rates into their long term goals.

Accountability

Ohio's proposed accountability system will include academic proficiency (20% weight); academic growth using a Value-Added measure (20% weight); 4- and 6-year cohort graduation rates; and a Prepared for Success indicator for high schools which will track: College Admission tests (percentage of kids with non-remediation score), Dual credit courses, Industry certificates (at least 12 points with an industry-recognized credential or bundle of credentials), AP (3 or higher), and IB (4 or higher).

Support / Improvement for Schools

Ohio will leverage the opportunity to reserve up to 3% of Title I funding to support direct student services. These funds will be targeted to districts with the highest percentage of schools identified for improvement to:

- Improve access to rigorous coursework at all grade levels including but not limited to Advanced Placement courses.
- Expand the number of students accessing accelerated coursework, particularly students in traditionally underrepresented student groups.
- Support development and delivery of transitional coursework to reduce college remediation rates and better prepare students for postsecondary education.
- Support early literacy initiatives.

Supporting All Students

Ohio will partner with the Collaborative for Academic Social and Emotional Learning (CASEL) to help achieve two goals that support social and emotional learning. These goals are:

1. Promote the integration of Ohio's existing kindergarten-grade 3 standards for social and emotional learning into the regular instructional practices and supports provided by school counselors, educators, principals and administrators through the development and delivery of professional learning and resources to support implementation.
2. Evaluate whether Ohio should implement social and emotional learning standards in grades 4 through high school.

Ohio will also focus on career pathways and assisting educators in making those linkages for all students. In order to support educators in the integration of technical and academic content standards, the state plans to build a web-based tool that creates customized standards documents for Ohio educators. This tool will allow local school districts to design model courses

of study to meet local educational and workforce needs while also ensuring that academic content standards are being taught.

Learn More

Find more information on Ohio's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://education.ohio.gov/Topics/Every-Student-Succeeds-Act-ESSA>.

Oklahoma: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Oklahoma has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

Through ESSA, the Oklahoma State Department of Education (OSDE) has reaffirmed its focus on strengthening education, ensuring equity for all students, enhancing professional development and more - but it also provides an opportunity to spotlight the OSDE's commitment to the power of individualism.

By 2025, the OSDE envisions several key improvements for the state of public education in Oklahoma:

1. Score above the national average on NAEP in all subjects for 4th and 8th grade.
2. Reduce the need for emergency-certified teachers by 95%.
3. Be among the top 10 states for students graduating in the four-, five-, and six-year cohort.
4. Have 100% of students in grades 6-12 with an Individual Career Academic Plan (ICAP), impacting 335,000 students.
5. Align early childhood education and learning foundations to ensure at least 75% of students are "ready to read" upon kindergarten entry, affecting 39,000 new kindergarteners each year.
6. Reduce by 50% the need for math remediation after high school.

Long Term Goals

The achievement of each student will be measured in terms of a scale score rather than the percentage meeting grade-level proficiency. The baseline year will be 2017, when standards are set for each grade, content area and student group. Schools will receive a "status" score indicating the % of students on track to be college and career ready, and schools will receive a "growth" score indicating the growth in % on track year-to-year. Individual school goals will be set after the baseline year.

By 2025 all groups will be expected to achieve a scaled score that indicates most students will be ready for the challenges of college or career. The status targets will also reduce the achievement gap each year, but at a rate that has proven to be feasible based on past performance. This achievement indicator is both rigorous and attainable. It incentivizes schools to work with every student to meet his or her target and not just those close to proficiency.

Oklahoma's long-term goal for graduation rate is to be among the top 10 states for students graduating in the four-, five- and six-year cohorts. The state could reach that goal if 90% of its students graduated. Oklahoma will establish long-term goals for graduation rates that include five- and six-year graduates. The state will examine the baseline data and establish ambitious intermediate goals when the extended year graduation information is available in March 2018.

Accountability

Oklahoma's accountability system will include 4-, 5-, and 6-year graduation rates. It will also include an indicator of "Postsecondary Opportunity" which will be given a weight of 10 out of 90 points. This includes AP/IB participation, dual enrollment, internships and apprenticeships, and industry certifications.

Support / Improvement for Schools

As part of its system of rigorous interventions available for struggling schools, Oklahoma offers multiple, intensive instructional leadership development programs. Moving UP is a 15-month professional learning program structured for new or aspiring administrators, primarily focusing on turnaround leadership competencies for Comprehensive School Improvement (CSI) schools. Participants collaborate through face-to-face trainings and virtual learning sessions. They receive coaching between sessions from master administrators. Subsequently, new administrators create a strong foundation built on research-based practices and Oklahoma-specific goals.

Likewise, Lead 2 Succeed (L2S) is a 24-session cohort of experienced, district-identified principals who will use curriculum from the National Institute for School Leadership to become change agents for their districts and surrounding areas. Connecting research-based practices to real school challenges, participants enhance their current expertise and strengthen their school's learning culture. Key participants within the cohort are identified for roles as facilitators for future L2S cohorts.

Supporting Excellent Educators

In May 2016 Oklahoma's Governor signed H.B. 2957 redefining the evaluation system of educators, including:

- Pilot Individualized Program of Professional Development (Professional Learning Focus) with all districts (2017-2018).
- Implement growth goal with all districts (2018-2019).
- Incorporate a teacher leadership model.
- Create a specific data-collection application for confirmation of districts' dedicated Teacher Residency Program and dispensation of mentor stipends.

The OSDE plans to use Title II, Part A funds for the following state-level initiatives:

- Recognize and implement Learning Forward Standards for Professional Learning to support newly implemented academic standards. The new definition of professional development emphasizes activities that are sustained, intensive, collaborative, job-embedded, data-driven and classroom-focused. Learning Forward standards will anchor the professional development offerings from the OSDE that, in turn, will foster student achievement.
- Implement a Professional Learning Focus (PL Focus), emphasizing professional growth and ownership, as part of the state's evaluation system.

- Strengthen the teacher residency program that provides support for strong mentorship and induction programs for new teachers.
- Improve quality and effectiveness of principals and other school leaders through established Lead 2 Succeed and Moving UP programs.
- Ensure implementation of the teacher-leader career ladder, established in state law to elevate teachers who take on responsibilities of mentor, model and lead roles while receiving additional compensation.

Supporting All Students

A strategy to assist students transitioning from middle school to high school is the use of Individual Career Academic Plans (ICAPs). An ICAP is a multi-year process that guides students as they explore career, academic and postsecondary opportunities. Family, student and educators collaborate to develop the ICAP, which equips students with the awareness, knowledge and skills to create their own meaningful exploration of college and career opportunities. When students complete an ICAP, they discover which pathways fit their unique talents. OSDE will introduce a pilot program study in the 2017-18 school year and continue with additional school district pilots during the 2018-19 school year. Implementation statewide will follow during the 2019-20 school year, with the ICAP as a graduation requirement. Districts and schools may use the flexibility under the ESSA for expanded uses under Title I, Title II, and Title IV to improve postsecondary outcomes for all students. Using ICAPs, stakeholders will help determine a path to success after high school. Through available tools, students can create individual portfolios, utilize high school planning tools and explore college and career options.

Oklahoma will continue to encourage teachers, students, parents and community members to take advantage of the state's concurrent enrollment program, which is supported through the Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education and has allowed outstanding juniors and seniors the opportunity to earn college credit while still in high school at a reduced or free tuition.

The Oklahoma legislature authorized the AP Incentive Program in 1997 to increase access in AP and IB coursework. Oklahoma will continue to offer professional development, test fee assistance for economically disadvantaged students, and grants and incentive funds to districts to expand AP programs. Additionally, the OSDE provides technical assistance to IB schools' teachers as well as postsecondary option training to parents of IB students.

Under the ESSA, Oklahoma plans to increase access and opportunity for postsecondary readiness for all students, especially those served in Title I schools by utilizing Title I and Title IV funding. With external funding from J.P. Morgan Chase, the OSDE has initiated the New Skills For Youth (NSFY) program. This initiative provides for Oklahoma to accelerate and expand the current statewide initiative, Oklahoma Works, which is designed to increase the wealth of all Oklahomans by aligning education and training to produce a relevant workforce. The coalition includes business leaders, educational leaders and state agency partners.

Learn More

Find more information on Oklahoma's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://sde.ok.gov/sde/essa>.

Oregon: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Vision

Oregon's State Plan includes four commitments that serve as the foundational tenets to strengthen and shape the state's educational system to better serve Oregon's students. These include: prioritizing and advancing equity, extending the promise of a well-rounded education, strengthening district systems, and fostering ongoing engagement. The equity opportunity emphasizes providing for students' individual needs. The state also proposes a new definition for well-rounded education that focuses on the whole student and their community, the learning experiences they are given, the knowledge and skills they learn, and the beliefs and attributes they develop.

Academic Assessments

In response to the call for a more balanced assessment system, Oregon's Department of Education will use and leverage the white paper, "A New Path for Oregon," jointly created by the Oregon Education Association, Governor's office, and ODE with recommendations for creating a system of assessment to empower meaningful student learning.

The Performance Assessment Demonstration Sites (PADS) project is a partnership between Oregon schools, Oregon Department of Education, and the Center for Collaborative Education to provide performance assessment, professional learning and implementation support. The schools participating in 2016-17 are receiving grant funds to provide additional professional learning time to work through integrating the performance assessment with their curriculum, providing instruction to prepare students, administering the performance assessment, collaboratively scoring the student work, and providing feedback to students throughout the process. All PADS' materials will be licensed as open-educational resources so that any school or district in the state could engage in this professional learning without incurring licensing costs and without limitations on sharing the performance assessments or professional learning resources.

Oregon Formative Assessment for Students and Teachers (OFAST) is a comprehensive training course offered to districts through ODE that provides 5 modules to create a deep understanding of the purpose and creation of effective formative assessments. Learning modules are designed to support teachers in both the teaching and assessing of state standards. By developing the skills necessary to design, administer, and analyze the results of formative assessments, teachers will be better able to support students as they seek to gain mastery of the skills and knowledge necessary to graduate from high school college and career ready.

In order for formative assessments to be effective, students must feel respected, valued, efficacious, and engaged in the classroom. ODE, along with their partners at Education Northwest, developed materials and resources for educators to promote a classroom environment in which students can participate fully in formative assessments, while developing

interpersonal and intrapersonal skills for success in school and life. The resources provide evidence-based activities educators can use to complement classroom formative assessment practices, as well as help students develop ODE’s personal management and teamwork skills. Specifically, the resources focus on three aspects of Social and Emotional Learning that relate closely to formative assessment: social belonging, growth mindset, and self-regulation. The resources contain facilitator presentation materials, student handouts, and facilitator guides and can be used with students at any grade level.

Accountability

In addition to academic proficiency and English Language proficiency, Oregon's proposed accountability system would include four- and five-year graduation rates, student growth percentiles for elementary and middle school, rates of chronic absenteeism, and the percentage of freshman students who are on-track. The state also proposes to publicly report on opportunity to learn indicators as well as access to a well-rounded education. The state settled on these indicators after receiving significant stakeholder feedback supporting the inclusion of indicators that emphasize a rigorous and well-rounded education, school climate, personalized learning, equity, and culturally responsive services.

Oregon's continuous improvement system will also include locally-reported indicators that aren't collected statewide and don't meet reliability and validity standards but that provide a clearer picture of local context.

Oregon will consider future indicators based on stakeholder feedback, which includes interest in indicators around personalized learning.

Support / Improvement for Schools

Oregon will create a Comprehensive Needs Assessment that will serve to streamline and replace the multiple needs assessments currently required across grant programs. It will be used by districts to inform the development of their district and school plans. Oregon’s Comprehensive Needs Assessment process engages districts in a review of major systems that impact outcomes for students, including:

- Instruction – including standards / curriculum, instruction and assessment, equitable access to well-rounded education, Multi-Tiered Systems of Support;
- Professional Learning – including the systems and structures to identify what teachers, administrators and other staff need to better support all learners;
- Engagement – including systems and processes to analyze and improve engagement with stakeholders and tribal nations;
- Leadership – as a practice for district office staff, building leaders and educators;
- Academic, Social and Emotional Supports – including opportunities to enhance culturally relevant pedagogy and practices, improve mental health supports, improve nursing and counseling supports and to connect with other partners and community-based organizations to improve supports for students;
- Resource Review – questions and submission of evidence to ensure districts are differentiating resources to schools based on need; and

- Current Policies/Initiatives – a review of current policies and strategic improvement initiatives to better understand existing structures and efforts and to identify barriers and opportunities.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Given the changing landscape of districts and schools in Oregon, the state intends to shift toward stronger needs-driven, continuous, job-embedded professional learning that emphasizes culturally responsive pedagogy and practice.

The state recently launched a cross-office initiative led by the Office of Teaching, Learning and Assessment and the Office of Student Services that involves staff from across the agency. The aim is to build coherent supports to districts and schools driven by a review of data and local context / need. This initiative will serve as the cornerstone for providing differentiated supports to district and schools specifically aligned to root-cause outcomes, disaggregated student achievement data and next steps informed by the district’s diagnostic review. Specific, targeted professional learning supports to educators already underway include opportunities to promote personalized learning and improving multi-tiered systems of support.

The state will use the Oregon Educator Network (OEN) and Canvas to support districts in their efforts to advance a well-rounded education. OEN and Canvas are innovative online platforms where educators can collaborate, share resources, and find new and inventive ways to integrate technology into their classroom practices. These digital resources will be used to house resources, conduct online professional learning opportunities, facilitate online networks and digital professional learning communities, and provide platforms for collaboration and innovation.

Oregon’s Digital Learning / Educational Technology Plan offers an overall strategic vision for digital learning in Oregon. In order for students and educators to be prepared for the demands of this new era, classrooms, schools and other learning environments need to reflect the changes in philosophy, instructional practice, learning spaces, technologies, and learning opportunities necessary for students to be competitive and productive in the information and communication age. This plan includes an emphasis on personalized professional learning to ensure educators can effectively facilitate learning in a digital age as well as an emphasis on innovative leadership.

Supporting All Students

Oregon’s definition of a well-rounded education exceeds the definition in ESSA to include the whole student and his or her community, learning experiences, knowledge and skills learned, and beliefs and attributes developed. The state has developed an infographic on a well-rounded education that focuses on a student-centered learning environment. Oregon has many strategies to support districts in executing on the well-rounded education vision.

Oregon has partnered with the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) to assist in developing and implementing statewide partnerships, which will work together to create conditions (using standards/competencies, policies and/or guidelines) that will support student social and emotional learning.

Oregon is pursuing systems alignment across PreK-12 education, social services, juvenile justice, healthcare, and workforce development systems.

Among other areas, state and federal funds will be leveraged to increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology.

Oregon has Out of School STEM Innovation Grants for 4th-8th graders. Students are provided with community-based STEM programming, including CTE grants among other things.

Beginning in 7th grade or earlier, students have an Education Plan and Profile that serves as a personalized plan to guide students through their learning and in preparation for steps after high school. Students' education plans can include career-related learning experiences outside of the classroom.

An advisory committee in the state is working towards a Graduation Blueprint of elements leading to positive graduation outcomes. Some of those elements are supports for emotional, mental, and physical health of students; seamless P-20 education system; and clear educational pathways.

Accelerated learning experiences offer students an early chance to work towards attaining a college degree or certificate.

Regional Promise grants encourage K-12 and higher education collaboration to design learning communities that align high school through college to prepare students for post-secondary opportunities and to create accelerated learning models.

CTE is an important element of Oregon's education system. It has six learning areas with 23 career clusters and promotes frameworks that allow for customization at the local level.

Oregon's department of education, bureau of labor and industries, and office of community colleges and workforce development are collaborating to offer pre-apprenticeship programs to high school students for the opportunity to earn dual credit, develop employability and technical skills, potentially earn a wage, and start planning a postsecondary pathway.

Districts grant credit towards a diploma if students demonstrate proficiency or mastery of recognized standards. Schools and students can create personalized pathways that address the learning needs, interests, aspirations, and cultural backgrounds of individual students.

Oregon has identified nine essential skills that are meant to be applied across subjects and settings. They include academic skills such as reading, writing, and applying math as well as skills like communication, critical thinking, using technology appropriately, demonstrating civic

and community engagement, demonstrating global literacy, and demonstrating personal management and teamwork skills.

Oregon will provide guidance to districts developing Student Support and Academic Enrichment plans that include increasing access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supplemented by technology. These programs may include expanded technological capacity and infrastructure; innovative blended learning projects; access to high-quality digital learning opportunities for rural, remote, and underserved students; and specialized or rigorous academic courses using technology.

Learn More

Find more information on Oregon's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.oregon.gov/ode/rules-and-policies/ESSA/Pages/default.aspx>.

Rhode Island: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Rhode Island has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

Rhode Islanders together demand an educational system that holds high expectations for all students, regardless of income or background; is responsive to students' individual needs; and pushes the boundaries of imagination and innovation to create better learning conditions for students and educators (Rhode Island Strategic Plan for K12 Education, 2015).

Accountability

The annual academic achievement indicator is comprised of two indexes computed using Rhode Island Comprehensive Assessment System (RICAS), Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), PSAT, and SAT assessment results. English language arts and mathematics are calculated as separate measures and points will be assigned based on student achievement level on the English language arts and mathematics state assessments. Both RICAS and DLM have four performance levels with level three indicating proficiency. Rhode Island will establish four performance levels for the SAT with level three indicating proficiency. Student performance at level three and four on RICAS, SAT, and DLM will be weighted one point. Student performance at level two on those assessments will receive a partial point.

A Student Growth Index will be calculated using Student Growth Percentiles for 11th grade students based on the PSAT and SAT. The Student Growth Index will include differential weights for low, typical, and high growth. Similarly, a Student Growth Index will be calculated using Student Growth Percentiles for students in grades 4-8 based on the RICAS assessment.

Rhode Island believes that it is important for schools to continue to support and encourage all learners to achieve at the highest level. The state will calculate the percentage of students at each school and in each subgroup within the school who exceed expectations (level 4) on the mathematics and English language arts assessments.

For each school or district, four different graduation rates are calculated based on different cohorts: The 4-year, 5-year, and 6-year graduation rates are each calculated. From these rates, a weighted graduation rate is calculated based on 33.33% of the 4-year cohort rate, 33.33% of the 5-year cohort rate and 33.33% of the 6-year cohort rate. This weighted rate is referred to as the Composite Graduation Rate.

School quality or student success will be reflected through multiple measures. In 2018, the indicators will include Chronic Absenteeism and Student Suspensions. In 2019, Rhode Island will include two additional high school measures, High School Graduate Proficiency and Post-Secondary Success.

The High School Graduate Proficiency (Commissioner's Seal) indicator will measure the percentage of high school graduates each year demonstrating proficiency on approved English language arts and mathematics assessments until 2021. Beginning in 2022, this indicator will transition to the Commissioner's Seal indicator that will measure the percentage of students awarded a Commissioner's Seal.

The Post-Secondary Success indicator measures students' success in demonstrating achievements beyond those needed to earn a high school diploma. The Post-Secondary Success indicator will measure the percent of students in each high school that graduate each year with one or more of the following: Career and Technical Education industry-approved credential, college credits through dual or concurrent enrollment, or successful completion of Advanced Placement tests. Commencing with the graduating class of 2021, this indicator will expand to include two Council Designations that are outlined in the Rhode Island Secondary School Regulations, the Seal of Biliteracy and the Pathway Endorsement. A Seal of Biliteracy certifies that a student has attained proficiency in English and one or more other world languages. A Pathway Endorsement certifies that a student has accomplished deep learning in a chosen area of interest and is prepared for employment or further education in a career path. A Pathway Endorsement includes three components:

1. Academic study
2. Career and interest engagement
3. Application of skills

Support / Improvement for Schools

Rhode Island lists the following principles for school improvement:

- A belief that learning must be personalized to meet the needs of all students, and that a broad variety of pathways to college and career readiness must be made available, so that student and family choice can be a key driver in educational attainment.
- School improvement requires innovation, and that innovation cannot be achieved through coercion, but rather through empowerment of those closest to the students, namely families and educators.
- This empowerment must come through the form of greater flexibility and autonomy at the school level, while maintaining tight standards of accountability for outcomes, and taking appropriate action if needed when outcomes are not met.
- School improvement is the work of all members of the state community, meaning Rhode Island must emphasize shared responsibility for improving opportunities and outcomes for every Rhode Island student. This mutual responsibility acknowledges that all education partners in the state can and should play a role in improving access to high quality opportunities and educational outcomes for students.
- School improvement is not possible without authentically engaged communities and families at all stages of the planning and implementation of school improvement efforts.

Rhode Island believes that successful schools require close coordination between LEAs and the school, strong buy-in from communities and partners, and innovative instructional models and supports for all students.

To help, RIDE's ESSA State Plan will introduce the concept of "School Redesign." Through a School Redesign, LEAs will authentically engage with their educators and Community Advisory Boards (CAB) to fundamentally redesign and relaunch the school as a model that will be best positioned to address student needs. This process aligns to Rhode Island's emphasis on "collective responsibility" amongst all stakeholders – including the community in which the school serves. Specifically, Rhode Island will require all LEAs with schools identified as in need of comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) to assemble a CAB. Through the CAB, community stakeholders will possess a dedicated advisory "seat at the table" in which they can provide feedback and support to the LEA on both the initial development and ongoing progress of the LEA's school improvement plan. Further, LEA's may leverage the CAB to help identify direct roles that community stakeholders can play within the school improvement process. The CAB should be comprised of a broad range of community stakeholders from the communities served by the identified school(s). Ultimately, each LEA will be empowered to formalize members of the CAB that they feel best represent their community.

RIDE will require the School Redesign process for any school identified for comprehensive intervention and support that has failed to meet exit criteria within four years of identification. These schools may choose from five school designs, one of which is Small Schools of Choice: An evidence-based whole school reform, where a school is reorganized into one or more "small schools" (roughly 100 students per grade) which emphasize student-centered personalized learning programs and relationships between students and adults, a rigorous and well-defined instructional program, long instructional blocks that promote interdisciplinary work, and a focus on post-secondary preparation.

RIDE will reserve 50% of school improvement funding to support additional types of school improvement efforts including Innovation Grants for strategies to improve student achievement at low-performing schools. Each year, RIDE will identify strategic priorities such as increasing student access to pathways and learning opportunities that prepare students for college and career success and proficiency-based student-centered learning strategies.

Supporting Excellent Educators

RIDE is working with a multi-stakeholder advisory group to develop new leadership standards and competencies. Working with business leaders, preparation programs, educational leaders, and the Partnership for Rhode Island, the advisory group seeks to ensure a sustained approach to attract, develop, support and grow educational leaders. The current design considerations include intensive supports to sitting principals, executive training for superintendents and RIDE leaders, identification and preparation of leadership coaches, and the advice and engagement of a statewide Leadership Advisory Group.

RIDE intends to use a portion of the 3% set-aside allowable for professional development for principals/other school leaders for work related to instructional leadership. With this funding,

RIDE will coordinate a series of leadership initiatives, including: a) The Rhode Island Partnership, a public-private partnership to develop the competencies necessary for educational leadership according to leadership role: central office, building level, or teacher leadership; b) A cadre of leadership facilitators will provide professional learning and guide school and district leaders through extended learning opportunities. c) A vision supporting sustained, shared leadership and toolkits to support district development of shared leadership structures.

Supporting All Students

RIDE is currently in the process of developing standards for social emotional learning (SEL). Rhode Island has joined the Collaborative States Initiative of the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) to support the development and implementation of these standards. A portion of two state agency salaries is used to support the internal organization of this work in developing SEL standards or grade level expectations. Rhode Island districts and schools will be able to use the new expectations to align and organize programs to reduce incidences of bullying and harassment, and reduce the need for and use of all discipline practices, including those which remove students from class and those that compromise student health and safety. Since the initiation of the SEL initiative, nearly 300 professionals committed to improving conditions in schools for the emergence of SEL and to share best practices and shape resources to improve school climate. The SEL Community of Practice meets three times annually, to share emerging practices and support strategies to reduce incidences of bullying and harassment while expanding the comprehensive practices of SEL.

Every student in grades 6-12 has an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP) which serves as the cornerstone and driving force for personalization throughout a student's middle and high school experience. The ILP is a student directed planning and monitoring tool that customizes learning opportunities throughout the secondary school experience, broadens student perspectives, and supports attainment of goals. The ILP documents students' interests, needs, and supports, course selections, transition placements, and in- and out-of-school learning experiences to address the three domains included in regulation: Academic, Career, and Social/Personal Planning. As a central repository of student goals and supports, the ILP supports changes in instructional placements and key transitions including middle level to high school and high school to postsecondary placement. The ILP process engages all students in a way that advances goal setting, decision-making, and self-advocacy skills that support their lifelong learning.

All 21st Century Community Learning Center (CCLC) programs are required to align to the RI After-School Quality Standards and indicators which place emphasis on a positive youth development and whole child approach. These standards promote social and emotional learning, health and safety, positive relationships, family engagement, skill building, and youth voice and choice. In late 2016, RIDE conducted a statewide needs assessment to determine future weighting points awarded in the RFP process. Based on stakeholder feedback, RIDE developed two new 21st Century competitive priorities. The first, Early Foundations, supports innovative Pre-K through grade 3 strategies, and aligns with Governor Raimondo's strategic goal of having 75% of third graders reading at grade level by 2025. The second competitive priority, Advanced Learning, aligns with and supports the state's recent revision of the Rhode

Island Secondary School Regulations, and promotes the use of innovative strategies to support secondary students with personalized learning opportunities during out-of-school hours.

Learn More

Find more information on Rhode Island's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at

[http://www.ride.ri.gov/InformationAccountability/EveryStudentSucceedsAct\(ESSA\).aspx](http://www.ride.ri.gov/InformationAccountability/EveryStudentSucceedsAct(ESSA).aspx).

South Carolina: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of South Carolina has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

The South Carolina Department of Education (SCDE) envisions all students graduate prepared for success in college, careers, and citizenship. The Profile of the South Carolina Graduate outlines the World Class Knowledge; World Class Skills; and Life and Career Characteristics, that each student should have upon graduation from high school.

The state has established four main strategic initiatives to ensure all students meet the Profile of the South Carolina Graduate. Those include:

1. **Personalized Learning** - By fostering student ownership of learning, by developing learner profiles and learning pathways, and by adopting flexible learning environments, each student's educational experience is tailored to meet his or her unique strengths, needs, and interests. The SCDE is working with all South Carolina districts across a variety of personalized learning models to ensure that every district in the state includes at least one school fully committed to personalized learning.
2. **Expanded Learning** - The state believes that learning outside the school day is just as important as learning that happens within the school day. As such, the SCDE is working to measure, support, and increase high-quality expanded learning opportunities and partnerships across the state.
3. **Classroom Opportunities** - Ensuring all students – not just those in high income, high capacity districts – have access to career and technical education, virtual options, world languages, the arts, advanced credit in middle school, Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, and dual credit coursework is critical to achieving the SCDE's mission.
4. **School Improvement** - Educational success should not be a function of zip code or history. Instead of allowing schools and districts to flounder on their own, the SCDE is providing direct support and guidance based on a portfolio of evidence-based school turnaround strategies.

Long Term Goals

South Carolina has set state transformational goals in addition to the specific long-term goals required by ESSA. By 2035, 90 percent of students will graduate "college, career, and citizenship ready" as outlined in the Profile of the South Carolina Graduate. Accountability metrics will focus on key benchmarks in a student's life and identify for policymakers fiscal and programmatic policies to improve the education system of our state.

Such metrics would include the following:

- Percentage of students entering kindergarten ready to learn as measured by a kindergarten readiness assessment in early literacy, mathematical thinking, physical well-being and social and emotional well-being;
- Percentage of 3rd graders who Meet or Exceed Expectations on SC Ready in English language arts and mathematics;
- Percentage of students by grade level who score Meet or Exceed Expectations on SC Ready in English language arts and mathematics and who score Met or Exemplary on SC PASS in science and social studies;
- On-time, four-year graduation rate;
- Percentage of high school graduates who graduate with the knowledge, skills, and characteristics of the Profile of the South Carolina Graduate, which initially is the percentage of students who graduate college and career ready;
- Percentage of students who graduate ready to enter postsecondary education to pursue a degree or national industry credential without the need for remediation in mathematics or English. This metric is critical to South Carolina improving the postsecondary degree and certification rate that the jobs of the 21st century will demand of our workforce;
- Percent of South Carolinians ages 25 to 64 who have a postsecondary degree or certificate; and
- Percent of high school graduates who are either gainfully employed in the state within five and ten years of graduating from high school or are enrolled in postsecondary education. This metric will require the development or collaboration of data from multiple sources including higher education and the Coordinating Council for Workforce Development.

Accountability

Student performance on assessments is calculated by four categories: Does Not Meet Expectations, Approaches Expectations, Meets Expectations, and Exceeds Expectations. The index is generated by assigning points to each student's level score on the state summative tests. The student's points are aggregated and the school's performance is measured as a percentage of the maximum points available across assessments and converting the ratio to an index. The school's rating within this category is determined by comparing the schools index to benchmarks established by bookmarking against the historical performance of schools in South Carolina.

For the first time in accountability, South Carolina will use a value-added system to measure growth. Half of the growth points in the accountability model will come from growth demonstrated in mathematics and ELA in grades 4-8 for all students. The other half of growth points in the accountability model will come from growth demonstrated by the bottom quintile. Currently, South Carolina students scoring in the bottom quintile are significantly behind their grade level peers and are not on a trajectory for college/career readiness. The growth model will incentivize growth for the lowest performing students.

The Student Success indicator for elementary and middle schools will be a “reported element” only. Student Lexiles and Quantiles on the state summative tests in ELA and Mathematics will be reported as the percentage of students at each grade level who are “on track” to college and career readiness. The Student Success indicator for high schools measures the degree to which students are Prepared for Success based on meeting any one of nine state-identified college and career readiness criterion.

For college readiness, these include:

- ACT composite score of 20;
- SAT benchmarks of 1020;
- Advanced Placement exams of 3 or higher in English, mathematics, science, social studies, or AP Capstone;
- International Baccalaureate exams of 4 or higher in English, mathematics, science, and social studies;
- Six hours of dual enrollment coursework in English, Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics with a grade of C or higher.

For career readiness, these include:

- WorkKeys National Career Readiness Certificate of Silver, Gold, or Platinum;
- ASVAB score of 31 or higher;
- Completion of a registered Youth Apprenticeship program; or
- Completion of a Career and Technical Education (CATE) program with state-recognized industry credential that leads to a living wage.

The school quality indicator will aggregate the number and percentage of students who report different levels of Engagement in school based on a survey that is currently under procurement. The survey will be based upon the student’s personal experiences in the classroom and cover the elementary, middle, and high school levels.

Supporting Excellent Educators

In addition, Title II, Part A LEA funds allowable up to 3 percent, will be used to enhance equitable access to effective teachers by increasing leadership opportunities for excellent educators without removing them entirely from the classroom. The need for leadership opportunities was cited as a major cause of turnover by educators interviewed during development of the South Carolina State Plan for the Equitable Distribution of Excellent Educators. In response, the Office of School Leadership (OSL) is developing a South Carolina Teacher Leader Model focused on valuing of teacher expertise, fostering collegiality, and increasing teacher retention for the purpose of enhancing student growth and opportunity. Title II, Part II LEA allowable funds will be used to finish building, to pilot, and to institute the state model.

Supporting All Students

Eighth graders and their parents/guardians meet with school counseling personnel to develop an Individual Graduation Plan (IGP). The IGP is intended to help students better understand the alignment between their academic course selections and their career aspirations. High school students are provided guidance and curricula that enable them to successfully complete their IGPs, preparing them for a seamless transition to relevant employment, further training, or post-secondary education. High school students continue to receive support and guidance as they develop an IGP that aligns their career goals with their course of study, ensuring that they meet the requirements for graduation including experience-based, career oriented learning experiences (e.g., internships, apprenticeships, mentoring, co-op education, and service learning).

The Profile of the South Carolina Graduate places an emphasis not only on rigorous standards in language arts and math, multiple languages, science, technology, engineering, mathematics (STEM), arts, and social sciences, but on world-class skills and life and career characteristics for all students, as well. World-class skills, such as creativity and innovation, critical thinking and problem solving, collaboration and teamwork, communication, information, media and technology, and knowing how to learn are essential skills to prepare learners for life after high school graduation. Life and career characteristics such as integrity, self-direction, global perspective, perseverance, work ethic, and interpersonal skills are also necessary for success in college, careers and citizenship.

South Carolina's statewide virtual school program, VirtualSC, offers rigorous, standards aligned online options to students in grades 7–12 to assist schools in supporting their students and support appropriate promotion practices and decrease the risk of students dropping out. VirtualSC courses are exempt from a seat time requirement and allow students to move through courses based on mastery of academic content. Students can supplement their coursework with courses at a variety of academic levels (Advanced Placement (AP), Honors, College Preparatory and Credit Recovery) to assist them with staying on schedule to graduate and prepare for post-secondary education or a career.

Learn More

Find more information on South Carolina's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://ed.sc.gov/newsroom/every-student-succeeds-act-essa/>.

South Dakota: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of South Dakota has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

Recognizing that students learn in different ways, at different paces, and with unique end goals in mind, South Dakota has begun to integrate principles of personalized learning and mastery of standards as an alternate approach to the traditional, Industrial Age model of learning that characterizes the K-12 system. Also recognizing that the job market increasingly demands some sort of postsecondary or industry-recognized credential, South Dakota is committed to providing multiple pathways for students to achieve and demonstrate readiness for life after high school.

This paradigm shift is being supported by the South Dakota Department of Education (SD DOE) and will be reflected in SD DOE's approach to accountability provisions under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). In particular, to support this shift, SD DOE will explore innovative assessment opportunities that

allow schools to assess students at their level of learning, rather than the strict structure of an assigned age-based grade level. In addition, SD DOE will pursue the use of pilot schools to immerse students in engaging academic and work-based opportunities that are directly connected to a student's end goals.

Participating schools will utilize a framework of career advising, early postsecondary opportunities, and work-based learning experiences that pave the way for students to make informed decisions about their postsecondary and career plans.

Accountability

South Dakota will continue to use a 100 point School Performance Index for reporting purposes, but the index will be augmented as follows:

In terms of academic achievement, schools will be eligible to receive partial points for students performing below proficiency based on a rubric featuring 4 performance levels. The percentage of students scoring at each performance level is calculated and then multiplied by the point value given to that performance level (Level 1 = 0.25; Level 2 = 0.5; Level 3 (Proficient) = 1.0; Level 4 = 1.25). So schools will receive extra credit for students scoring above proficiency and partial credit for those below. (p. 24)

Points for Academic Growth are based on the growth of all students and the growth of the students in a school who scored in the lowest quartile on the previous year's assessment. Using a lowest quartile consideration holds all schools accountable for closing the achievement gap for their students most at need.

In terms of graduation rate, South Dakota will continue to calculate four-year cohort graduation rates as a separate indicator worth 12.5% of the overall score; however, South Dakota will also calculate the total high school completion rate for a separate, additional 12.5%. The high school completion rate will include all extended cohort graduates (up to age 21) as well as those who have achieved high school equivalency by other means.

Another 25% of the high school School Performance Index will be based on the percentage of students demonstrating College and Career Readiness. Students can show readiness on two benchmarks: an assessment benchmark and a coursework benchmark.

- **Assessments:** Students can check this box by scoring at a level sufficient to enter into credit-bearing courses on the ACT, the Smarter Balanced assessment, or by completing state-approved remedial work in both English and math. Students can also show they are ready by earning the National Career Readiness Certificate (silver level or above), which measures workplace skills.
- **Coursework:** Students can show readiness by becoming a Career and Technical Education Concentrator (earning two credits in an approved CTE program), completing two CTE courses or capstone experiences, or successfully completing a dual credit, concurrent credit or Advanced Placement course or exam.

Support / Improvement for Schools

As one of the many interventions the state provides to its comprehensive support and improvement schools, South Dakota offers technical assistance in the development of 21st century school libraries, which provide personalized learning environments as well as equitable access to all resources to ensure a well-rounded education and opportunities for every student.

Supporting Excellent Educators

State-level Title II, Part A funds will be used to provide technical assistance and build capacity of local districts to implement state-adopted content standards through competency-based education.

South Dakota encourages and supports schools in implementation of innovative and individualized education opportunities to support student achievement. To this end, South Dakota has created a staff position within the Division of Learning and Instruction specifically for innovation in education. This individual will assist schools in implementing innovative models or systems to support all students, including those with specific learning needs. One such system South Dakota currently supports and encourages is implementation of Mass Customized Learning. Mass Customized Learning allows schools to meet individual learning needs through a delivery system that provides students with access to qualified educators who understand the way in which standards build across each other, and allows students to work at their own pace, receiving support in those areas in which they need the most assistance, and progressing more quickly in areas for which a natural affinity exists.

To support principals, the state will use Title II, Part A funds to create a comprehensive, long-term professional development support system in collaboration with the South Dakota

Association of Secondary School Principals and the South Dakota Association of Elementary School Principals.

Supporting All Students

South Dakota will use funds received under Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 to support many state-level activities, including the following:

- Provide accelerated learning examinations for low-income students (AP exams, etc.).
- Provide technical assistance to schools so they will have access to a wide range of career and technical educational opportunities that support student skills and interests. Learners will be given academic and non-academic support based on individual needs through intervention or enrichment.
- Provide a range of technical assistance opportunities to help schools create, understand, and maintain 21st Century Libraries, and to understand the link between student outcomes and the roles that such library programs can provide.

Learn More

Find more information on South Dakota's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://doe.sd.gov/secretary/essa.aspx>.

Tennessee: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Academic Assessments

Tennessee will modify ELA tests to allow for more granular score reporting. These changes will then allow the assessments to play a greater role in the Response to Instruction and Intervention framework used across the state.

Accountability

Tennessee will incorporate transparency metrics into its state report card to provide relevant, easily understood information to stakeholders in order to compare and better understand schools and districts. Included in a list of these metrics are types of Early Postsecondary Opportunities (EPSO) offered, the percent of students earning EPSO credit, percent of students completing at least one EPSO, percent of students completing 2+ EPSO and earning industry credentials, percent of students completing 4+ EPSO, and an extended cohort graduation rate of five years and a summer.

Tennessee's accountability system will incorporate the following indicators:

- Academic achievement, including progress to on-track; 45% K-8, 30% high school;
- Growth (progress toward approaching, on track, mastered); 35% K-8, 25% high school;
- The Ready Graduate indicator for high schools will focus on readiness for postsecondary, military and the workforce and will be weighted at 25%. It will be determined by multiplying the graduation rate by the percentage of graduates scoring 21+ on the ACT; completing 4 EPSOs or completing 2 EPSOs + earning Industry Certified Achievement, or completing 2 EPSOs + scoring the state designated score on armed forces qualifying exams;
- Chronically Out of School (chronic absenteeism); 10% for K-8 and high school;
- English language proficiency; 10% for relevant K-8 and high schools.

Though the achievement indicator is weighed slightly heavier than growth, growth will be measured across all indicators. The achievement indicator can be captured through either absolute proficiency or through progress in meeting rigorous annual measurable targets to reflect Tennessee's prioritization of growth for all students.

Support / Improvement for Schools

The department's theory of action suggests the following principles used to develop a revised district accountability framework:

- The district accountability system should particularly identify districts failing to show minimum progress with their students, such that those districts may receive customized support and additional resources towards improvement.
- Meeting the needs of all students is a priority. If a district is failing to make progress with its lowest achieving students, it is in need of improvement.

- All growth should be recognized. Much progress is overlooked when targets are binary.
- Absolute achievement alone is not sufficient. All students must be progressing.
- The metrics in school and district accountability should be conceptually aligned so that schools and district are focusing on the same metrics.
- District and school accountability should work together to drive continuous improvement and ensure a focus on priorities as defined in strategic plan.
- District and school accountability should align to school improvement.

Tennessee emphasizes the unique needs in districts receiving comprehensive support, and will work to empower those districts by:

- Empowering leaders and educators with access to accurate and timely data linked to clear action steps.
- Providing decision-making supports for districts—communicating and prioritizing choice points, options, and flexibility for various initiatives.
- Providing coaching and support.
- Encouraging innovation through earned autonomy for high-performing districts.
- Providing pilot opportunities and space for districts to innovate.
- Creating strong networks of learning and opportunities to contribute to decision-making around statewide initiatives.

The state has a risk-analysis tool in place to identify districts for targeted technical assistance and monitoring. The tool currently contains more than 60 indicators across multiple areas: federal funding, student achievement, human capital, the number of federal discretionary grants received, audit findings, predictive performance indicators, and other points of data that could inform need. These indicators are weighted to inform both fiscal and program monitoring. The state is focused on continuous cycles of improvement in schools, and monitors schools' progress by taking "snapshots" throughout the year.

Tennessee has opted to continue its Innovation Zone model, which acts like a district within a district. Innovation Zones provide accelerated turnaround for schools by providing innovation and increased autonomy to principals and teachers by exempting them from specific district-level policies and procedures. Districts can apply for Innovation Zone grants as a school turnaround strategy.

English learner proficiency assessment (ELPA) results will now be included in the “all students” category for accountability purposes to ensure their progress is not diluted by only reflecting results in subgroup performance. The n-size for the indicator has been reduced to 10 valid tests, which allows the state to hold 40 percent of districts accountable for EL performance. Additionally, the department will add regional capacity to work with districts and Focus schools identified based on English learner performance. The department will provide technical assistance and professional development to schools where students do not meet the growth standard on ELPA.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Tennessee has begun to support educators by creating a personalized learning pilot that focuses on micro-credentialing for up to 100 teachers. The pilot seeks to capture teacher perceptions around micro-credentials as a form of personalized professional learning. The goal is to scale the reach of micro-credentialing as a tool for licensure advancement for teachers.

The information technology (IT) team at TDOE is focused on addressing key long-standing concerns with technology. Specifically, their key projects include better integration, improving the accessibility of data, and generally supporting districts in using data more proactively rather than solely for analytical purposes. The department is interested in and uniquely positioned to take technologies that have served the business sectors well and integrate them into education. This includes using machine learning to provide information to teachers. This technology could be used to make recommendations to teachers based on student attributes that respond best to certain interventions.

The state encourages particular professional development strategies as schools and districts seek to find ways to spend their Title I, Part A funds. One strategy is to establish a school-wide comprehensive picture for professional development, focused on identified areas of need and individualized support in order to best address the gaps in the school building, while allowing staff to design different strategies that fit the context of the school and students, builds on practices to sustain the initiative, and involves all stakeholders.

Tennessee emphasizes differentiated professional learning and mentoring for teachers, implemented in part by the state's Instructional Partnership Initiative (IPI). IPI is a personalized professional learning approach that leverages existing expertise within schools to help teachers improve their craft. Teachers in the same school are strategically paired based on complementary strengths and areas for growth on specific instructional practice areas. IPI provides flexibility for teachers to decide when to meet together and what activities are most appropriate for their professional learning.

Tennessee has increased the ability for teachers with middle grades licensure to earn a specific endorsement to teach Algebra I. These teachers are not required to complete the full certification pathway for all high school math content. Therefore, even areas that are experiencing shortages in teachers to teach advanced math content in middle schools can pursue this alternative certification pathway to meet the demands of additional students taking Algebra I in eighth grade.

Supporting All Students

Tennessee plans to empower districts to do what is best for growth and achievement, in part by utilizing personalized learning strategies. The four key strategies in the state are blended learning, predictive analytics, micro-credentialing, and competency-based education. The state will implement these strategies through pilot initiatives. The state has launched an Algebra I/Integrated Math I Blending Learning Pilot to build evidence that technology can support teachers in delivering tailored instruction. The state is also exploring how to best utilize predictive analytics to leverage existing data, ultimately providing "personalized content

channels" that provide recommendations to educators for specific strategies that reflect student needs and interests.

The state will continue to explore options to integrate competency-based education instructional models by learning from districts piloting CBE in the future, focusing their efforts on high-needs schools and districts.

The state plans to fund 21st Century Community Learning Centers that address one of three activity areas: increasing reading and math proficiency; strategies that will improve high school graduation rates and increase postsecondary access/success; and providing intentional, hands-on approaches that increase students' interest/engagement in STEM programming.

As a way to improve student capacity to engage in academic learning and prepare students to meet college and career readiness standards, the department will partner with two organizations to help the state develop social and personal learning competencies that align with Tennessee learning standards. The ten, optional, online modules will be released in September 2017.

Tennessee encourages school-wide strategies as schools look to spend their Title I, Part A funding. One option highlighted is to develop a balanced assessment system that incorporates formative, benchmark, and summative assessments to provide a more complete picture of learning needs, help teachers better design instruction, and bring teachers together to collaborate and provide consistency to instructional programs.

Tennessee's department of education and the state's Board of Regents are working with select high schools and TCATs to pilot early postsecondary partnerships. The TCAT Dual Enrollment Pilot, which began in the 2015-16 school year, is designed to link select secondary CTE programs of study with TCAT instruction to create opportunities for high school students to earn postsecondary credit while in high school.

The state's work-based learning program (WBL) includes internships, apprenticeships and paid work experiences that help all students earn credit outside the classroom, including those with disabilities. Students who are 16 years old or older, may participate in a "practicum" level capstone WBL course for credit, through which they develop portfolios as summative assessments of their knowledge and skills. The TDOE also promotes a suite of capstone course options that are designed to align to the student's area of elective focus and their long-term goals and interests. WBL, including capstone completion, will be included as a reporting metric for transparency.

Learn More

Find more information on Tennessee's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://www.tn.gov/education/section/essa>.

Utah: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Utah has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Accountability

Utah's accountability system incorporates graduation rate for high schools as an indicator of student postsecondary readiness. Points are awarded in proportion to the percentage of students who graduate within four years. To recognize school's success in graduating students in five years, in accordance with state law, up to 10 percent of the points allocated for high school graduation will be awarded to a school for the school's five-year cohort graduation rate.

Utah's accountability system includes an equity indicator as measured by growth of the lowest performing 25 percent of students in a school, according to scores on statewide assessments from the previous school year.

Additionally, Utah's accountability system includes a postsecondary readiness indicator as measured by readiness coursework completion, ACT performance, and graduation rates. The postsecondary readiness indicator is included to promote preparation for the transition from high school to the multiple pathways after graduation. Points are allocated for the readiness coursework metric in proportion to the percentage of students who complete at least one of the following:

- a C grade or better in an Advanced Placement course;
- a C grade or better in an International Baccalaureate course;
- a C grade or better in a concurrent enrollment course; or
- a career and technical education pathway.

Points are allocated for the ACT metric in proportion to the percentage of students who achieve a composite score of 18 or higher.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Course offerings for administrator professional development in Utah include:

- STEM Academy: engage K-8 administrators in understanding best instructional practices associated with mathematics, science, engineering, and technology.
- Leadership in Blended and Digital Learning Program: build experience and expertise in digital and personalized learning, including evaluation and instructional best practices related to 21st century classrooms.

Utah has recognized the importance of teacher leaders and has started the process of identifying the resources necessary for statewide integration. Utah will use Title II, Part A funds to create a pilot grant opportunity for LEAs to develop a teacher leader program. Grant projects will be required to include a description of strategic plans to:

- demonstrate commitment of the LEA to build leadership capacity of teachers;
- provide professional learning for principals for ways to identify, work with, and provide transparency with school staff to understand teacher leader roles;
- design job-embedded professional learning;
- craft inventive opportunities for teacher leaders to make contributions for the professional learning that do not require leaving the classroom full time;
- create opportunities for collaboration among teacher leaders;
- propose innovative funding strategies for sustainability beyond the grant;
- establish a program evaluation process that includes impact on school culture, teachers' continuous growth, and student learning; and
- develop a communication plan to publicize the LEA's teacher leader program, process, and outcomes.

Supporting All Students

The Utah State Board of Education (USBE) recognizes the importance of effective transitions at all levels of schooling. To meet the needs of Utah's students and families, USBE will support local education agencies (LEAs) in providing effective transitions by implementing and monitoring Board rule, state laws and legislative initiatives and providing technical assistance. Board rule requires LEAs to conduct individualized education and career planning meetings with students and parents at least once in grade 7 or grade 8, once in grade 9 or 10 and once in grade 11 or 12. These meetings facilitate transitions and reduce the risk of students dropping out.

USBE will assist LEAs in implementing the state's Partnerships for Student Success Act. Grants were awarded to eligible partnerships in 2016-2017 aimed at improving educational outcomes for low-income students through the formation of cross-sector partnerships that use data to align and improve efforts focused on student success. The partnerships must include a school feeder pattern. School feeder patterns designate the schools that students follow as they graduate from one level to the next. One of the goals of organizing schools into school feeder patterns and partnering with community partners is to assist in transitions between elementary to middle school and middle school to high school. Partners are required to establish shared goals, outcomes, and measurement practices across several domains, including grade 3 reading, grade 8 mathematics, high school graduation, and postsecondary education attainment.

Additionally, one of the priorities for serving migrant students is to develop individual learning plans for all priority for service migrant students.

Learn More

Find more information on Utah's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://schoolboard.utah.gov/tag/essa>.

Virginia: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Virginia has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long term Goals

Virginia will include long term goals for the five- and six-year adjusted cohort graduation rates in addition to the four-year rate.

Academic Assessments

The Virginia Board of Education ensures that every student in the state has the opportunity to be prepared for and take courses at an advanced level prior to high school through a provision in the Standards of Accreditation which requires that instructional programs in all middle schools offer at least one level of a foreign language and an Algebra I course. Testing data show that 50.4 percent of Virginia 8th grade students took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II assessment in the 2015-2016 school year. When such students are enrolled in high school, the students are assessed on one or more additional high-school level mathematics assessments, consistent with the state's mathematics content. The students' results on the additional high-school level mathematics assessment(s) administered during high school are included in federal accountability determinations for the students' high schools.

Accountability

Virginia will include two measures to determine growth for elementary and middle schools:

1. Students in grades 3-8 who did not pass the state reading or mathematics assessment in the previous year are counted twice in the school's combined rate if they pass the state assessment for the grade level in which they are enrolled in the subsequent year. This measure, referred to as recovery, acknowledges the work of schools that provide remediation to students who failed the reading or mathematics tests the previous year.
2. A student who does not pass the state assessment may also demonstrate growth using a value table model as described below. Virginia's value tables are derived from Standards of Learning (SOL) test data, and are used for students in grades 3 through 8 who did not pass the SOL reading and/or mathematics test the previous year. Student growth is determined by comparing the student's test score in the current year to his/her prior year's test score. To facilitate the measurement of student growth, the performance levels used for students who do not pass the SOL tests in reading and mathematics (Basic and Below Basic) are each divided in half to create two sublevels for each level. The resulting sublevels are: Low Basic, High Basic, Low Below Basic, and High Below Basic. Student progress is measured by the number of sublevels a student who failed the SOL the previous year has moved based on the current year's data. A student is determined to have made sufficient growth if he or she advances one sublevel.

The state will also include 4-, 5-, and 6-year graduation rates in its accountability system.

Supporting All Students

Virginia has established a list of priorities for its Title IV subgrants. Included in this list is support for dual or concurrent enrollment programs and early college high schools, and support for LEAs in providing students in rural, remote, and underserved areas with the resources to benefit from high-quality digital learning opportunities and to facilitate the expansion of LEA broadband connectivity.

Learn More

Find more information on Virginia's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/federal_programs/esea/essa/index.shtml.

Vermont: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

ESSA Plan Submitted to USDE for Approval on April 3, 2017.

Vision

Over the past three years, the educational landscape of Vermont has changed substantially. Through multiple pieces of policy and legislation that emphasize personalized learning, proficiency-based frameworks, and the need for consistency in the availability of educational services and supports, Vermont schools are reconsidering how, what, and where students learn and teachers teach. These changes in Vermont have been driven by equity concerns: concerns about eliminating equity gaps across traditionally underserved student groups in the state, and about providing equitable access, statewide, to a shared baseline of educational services and supports.

Long Term Goals

The state's long term goal for academic proficiency is that by 2025, 100% of schools will achieve an average scale score that is at the mid-point of the proficiency range for each grade level served. Scale scores will align to the Smarter Balanced assessment performance levels. The state's reliance on scale scores will enable Vermont to focus on the improved educational outcomes of all students rather than an arbitrary line of proficiency. The state has also set a graduation rate goal that by 2025, 100% of schools will have 90% of their students graduate within 4 years and that 100% of schools will have 100% of their students graduate within 6 years.

Academic Assessments

The state will use a new science assessment that is under development with a consortia of states. The assessment will be administered by computer and will include simulations or performance tasks that will assess the full breadth of the Next Generation Science Standards.

The state has begun the process of identifying a vendor for a physical fitness assessment that meets technical requirements for validity and reliability since results will be incorporated into the state's accountability system.

Accountability

All measures in the accountability system will be linked to a four label level to describe performance: Off-Target, Near Target, On-Target, and Bull's Eye. For each measure and for the school as a whole, a scale is generated which describes the degree to which the school is meeting the "target." This approach is consistent with the state's commitment to proficiency-based learning.

The state will include the following measures in its accountability system:

- Average scale score for grades 3-9 in math and English Language Arts,
- Average growth score for grades 5-9 in math and English Language Arts,
- Average scale score in 3 grades in Science,

- The percent of students meeting a physical fitness target,
- English Language proficiency,
- 4- and 6-year graduation rates,
- The percent of seniors with one or more tests that meet the career and college ready benchmark (dual credit, SAT, ACT, AP, IB, CLEP, ASVAB, IRC/CTE Certification),
- The total percent of graduates who within 16 months of graduation are enrolled in college or a trade school or enlisted or working full time.

The state will report this information using both a single summative rating for each school as well as a display that allows for deeper data into each indicator.

Support / Improvement for Schools

In 2014, the Vermont State Board of Education released the Educational Quality Standards (EQS) which describe what Vermont schools are expected to provide to students to ensure a well-rounded education. To address these standards, the Agency of Education created Education Quality Reviews which include both quantitative and qualitative data collections that school systems use to identify strengths and opportunities for growth. The quantitative component is addressed through a dashboard of five critical areas:

1. Academic proficiency,
2. Personalization,
3. Safe, healthy schools,
4. High quality staffing, and
5. Financial efficiencies.

The qualitative component consists of an integrated Field Review process which includes an on-site school review with members from the state agency, a group of educators, and community members. This team will monitor high quality instruction, strategies to support personalized learning such as the existence and implementation of rigorous Proficiency-based Graduation Requirements, personalized learning plans, and the availability of Vermont Act 77-required Flexible Pathways. All schools will engage in the quantitative and qualitative process to inform the development and implementation of a bi-annual continuous improvement plan. Schools identified for Comprehensive and Targeted Support and Improvement will complete annual plans and receive more intensive support. Comprehensive schools using federal funds for continuous improvement will choose from a state-approved menu of research-based practices. Targeted Support schools using federal funding for continuous improvement must link their interventions to the equity gaps that contributed to their identification.

Supporting All Students

Vermont public schools must provide secondary students the opportunity to experience and demonstrate their learning through flexible and multiple pathways that lead to graduation. Students advance when they demonstrate the attainment of academic proficiency in academic disciplines and with transferable skills.

The state combines the titles funded under ESSA into a single team so state coordinators for Title I, Title II Part A, Title III, Title IV Part A and McKinney-Vento all work in the same division for the same director. These coordinators work with Supervisory Unions/Supervisory Districts to help braid funds in order to accomplish goals.

Learn More

Find more information on Vermont's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://education.vermont.gov/vermont-schools/education-laws/essa>.

Washington: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Washington has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Vision

Washington has a vision for all students to meet career and college ready standards. This vision aims "to educate all students to a higher level; to focus on the individualized instructional needs of students; to strive towards closing the achievement gap and reducing dropout rates; to provide effective teachers; and to prepare students for a constantly evolving workforce and increasingly demanding global economy."

Long Term Goals

The state proposes using a new achievement goal for long-term improvement goals -- a combination of students meeting/exceeding standards and students on track to meeting standards. The state defines on track as whether a student is demonstrating sufficient growth to reach proficiency within three years or by the 8th grade.

Because learning gaps look different for subgroups and for different schools, the state has created a model for calculating the reduction needed. Each identified group should take the percent meeting standard/on-track and subtract it from 100%. That determines the learning gap. Then, divide that learning gap by 10 to get the annual increment needed to completely close the learning gap. The state's goal is to create annual improvement steps that are ambitious and attainable.

Washington's long-term goals in high school will de-emphasize schoolwide graduation rates, and instead focus on closing gaps in graduation rates by subgroups. Similarly, the goals look to focus on closing gaps between subgroups in proficiency, rather than focus on a schoolwide average.

Washington has an extended graduation cohort option of five, six, or seven years.

Accountability

Washington will consider moving from Student Growth Percentiles that measure growth in an area of study, to Adequate Growth Percentiles which measure whether a student is on track to achieve proficiency.

Graduation rates will be weighted for the purposes of differentiating schools for accountability purposes. The strongest weight will be applied to four-year rates, and less weight will be applied to five-, six-, and seven-year rates. Washington has used the 5-year adjusted cohort graduation rate in the past and will continue to do so. The weighted score of six- and seven-year rates are currently being considered.

Washington will use dual credit participation as one of its measures of school quality/student success. Dual credit here includes AP, IB, College in the High School, Tech Prep, and Cambridge. The state also anticipates including certain industry certifications.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Evaluation criteria for professional staff includes (among others): "recognizing individual student learning needs and developing strategies to address those needs; using multiple student data elements to modify instruction and improve student learning; communicating with families and the school community."

Supporting All Students

The state will use Title IV-A funds, in addition to state and other federal funds, to support student transitions through the following programs: the state will expand Career Technical Education (CTE) and Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) courses and opportunities; continue implementing the Multi-Tiered System of Support; and will implement its Learning Assistance Program Menu of Best Practices, designed to in part provide ideas for additional supports to ease the transition between middle school and high school.

The state requires all students in the 8th grade to create a High School and Beyond Plan. In high school, these plans, designed to help students think about their future and choose the appropriate coursework, are updated annually, and must be completed in order to graduate. Each plan corresponds to a personalized pathway requirement in the state.

Washington offers Bridge to College courses for 12th grade students who score at Level 2 on their Smarter Balanced 11th grade exam (the second-to-lowest level, indicating potential need for remediation). These courses focus on CTE readiness expectations in math and ELA. If students receive a B in the class, they are eligible to enter credit-bearing coursework at any state community college or technical college.

Washington will use part of their Title IV-A funds to improve the ways in which school districts can access and maximize technology including a specific focus on strategies for delivery of specialized or rigorous courses through digital learning opportunities such as dual and concurrent enrollment opportunities.

Learn More

Find more information on Washington's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://www.k12.wa.us/ESEA/ESSA/>.

Wisconsin: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Wisconsin has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long Term Goals

Wisconsin's long term goals for the graduation rate will be based on both the four-year and eight-year adjusted cohort graduation rates. An average of the two rates will be translated into a graduation rate indicator score for the all students group and each eligible subgroup. Wisconsin will not include a state-defined alternate diploma in the calculation of the graduation rates at this time.

Expected time-to-proficiency and associated expected annual growth for EL students will be differentiated by initial ELP level and grade when a student enters the Wisconsin public school system. Statistical modeling using historic Wisconsin ELP scale score growth data will be used to define maximum time-to-proficiency and annual growth targets at the student level. Students who meet or exceed their expected growth for the year will be considered on-track and positively contribute to their school's on-track rate for the year.

Accountability

Wisconsin will use growth as its second academic indicator for elementary and middle schools. The state will measure student growth percentiles in order to describe a student's growth compared to other students with similar prior performance. To calculate school-level growth scores, the Wisconsin Department of Instruction will determine a ratio of the school's combined mean student growth percentile to that of the state for all students and each subgroup. This ratio reflects the percentage of growth in the school relative to the mean for all students in the state and will be used to compute a growth score.

For high schools, the graduation rate indicator will include both four-year and eight-year adjusted cohort graduation rates. An average of the two rates will be translated into a graduation rate indicator score for the all students group and each eligible subgroup. Wisconsin will not include a state-defined alternate diploma in the calculation of the graduation rates at this time.

Wisconsin will include grade 1-12 in the calculation of the EL indicator of growth to proficiency in order to track non-linear growth of students.

Wisconsin will weigh academic achievement at 25 percent and student growth at 35 percent when ELP is not present in the school. For schools with ELP, the state will measure academic achievement and student growth at 25 percent each, and will measure ELP progress separately at 10 percent.

Support / Improvement for Schools

Wisconsin will include specific requirements to engage families and the local community in decision-making processes regarding school improvement in addition to school staff and

administrators, and students. The state also proposes additional groups that may be included in the improvement plan process including community-based organizations and community health organizations, neighborhood representatives, Native American representatives, Government entities, adjunct school services, workforce investment boards, faith-based communities, and institutions of higher education.

More rigorous interventions and supports under ESSA will include additional requirements and state-level support to ensure schools are successful in implementing their plans. These include:

- A team trained in implementation science to provide an external program evaluation and identify why reforms are not improving outcomes for students.
- An external evaluation to drive a school-specific, customized improvement plan, which should include refined or new requirements and identify any additional supports necessary to implement the plan.
- Additional requirements and supports, based on the needs assessment and improvement plan, which may include:
 - Additional active authentic family and community engagement, including training specifically for families and community members around school improvement, such as data inquiry processes and improvement cycles with a specific focus on equity;
 - Capacity building at the school and district level, including funding for time, training, and professional development so school staff can meaningfully engage in and successfully implement improvement efforts and focus on equity;
 - State support for mental health services, socio-emotional learning, and behavioral issues, including training around trauma sensitive schools, substance abuse screening and referral, and youth mental health first aid, and behavioral interventions;
 - Expanded educational design, such as community schools, project-based learning, and personalized learning, promoting more instructional time, positive school climates, and family and community engagement;
 - Expanded academic improvement efforts, which may include additional learning time, changes in instructional strategies, or other strategies outlined under state law.

If necessary, existing authority under state law allows Wisconsin's department to initiate additional improvement in identified school districts, including the following:

- Employing a standard, consistent, research-based curriculum throughout the district;
- Using student achievement data to differentiate instruction;
- Implementing a system of academic and behavioral supports and early interventions for students; and
- Providing additional learning time;
- Implement or modify a new instructional design;

- Implement professional development programs that focus on improving student achievement;
- Implement changes in administrative and personnel structures;
- Adopt accountability measures to monitor the school district's finances or other interventions directed by the State superintendent; and
- Create school improvement councils in the persistently lowest performing schools.

Supporting Excellent Educators

Wisconsin is working to ensure all teachers have the skills and tools at their disposal to create a personalized, learner-centered environment using technology to collect, analyze, and organize data to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of learning. Wisconsin will support professional development that builds district staff capacity to ensure sound data privacy and security policies, procedures, and practices are in place at the district, school, classroom, and student levels. The Wisconsin Department of Instruction will also provide professional learning supports in the areas of digital learning, digital citizenship, and information literacy. All of these components are part of the statewide digital learning plan. Wisconsin will focus on professional development opportunities for leaders that will provide a range of skills and strategies to move from a more traditional classroom to one that is focused on blended environment using tools, technology, and resources consistent with today's needs and expectations.

The state will focus on developing or assisting school districts in the development of proven, innovative strategies to deliver intensive professional development activities that are both cost-effective and easily accessible, such as strategies involving delivery through the use of technology, peer networks, and distance learning.

Supporting All Students

Academic and career planning is one key planning tool that aids in student transitions. Academic and Career Plans (ACPs) are a student-driven, adult-supported process in which students create and cultivate their own unique and information-based visions for postsecondary success, obtained through self-exploration, career exploration, and the development of career management and planning skills. ACPs are required for all students in grades 6-12 under Wisconsin state statutes. The ultimate goal of ACP is to make education relevant and keep students engaged in the learning process.

Wisconsin is creating social emotional learning competencies for grades PK-12, in conjunction with the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL).

Wisconsin will use an equity lens to focus on a well-rounded education experience for all students, including Wisconsin's definition of college and career readiness, highlighting skills, habits of mind, and knowledge needed to be successful.

The state will provide intentional support for "transition schools," or those schools with significant or rapid changes in the EL population. The department will proactively reach out to

districts facing this change and when possible offer customized technical assistance, collaborative support, and visioning.

Wisconsin will encourage schools to be innovative in the ways in which they provide technology and instruction to students in ways that meaningfully engage the digital generation. The Wisconsin Digital Learning Plan provides school districts strategies for making learning more meaningful and relevant for students, more accessible for economically disadvantaged students, and more cost-effective upon implementation. Included in the initiatives put forth by the Plan is assistance for school districts in preparing personalized learning plans (including organizational tools, professional development, examples of practice aimed and multiple levels of the system, and evaluation of the effectiveness of the digital resources). Also included is state and regional training for school staff to create and implement innovative learning spaces and tools for students.

The state has a data dashboard called WISEdash, that allows student records to be transferred between schools and districts. This is important for students who are highly mobile and who are homeless. District staff with appropriate access are allowed to view historical student data as soon as the student is enrolled in a new school or district.

Learn More

Find more information on Wisconsin's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <http://dpi.wi.gov/esea>.

Wyoming: Advancing Personalized Learning Through ESSA

The state of Wyoming has a Draft Consolidated State Plan that is actively being reviewed. Here is a summary of key points from that plan.

Long Term Goals

The state is considering an extended graduation rate as an additional pathway for earning target graduation levels.

Accountability

Wyoming will measure student growth in grades 10 and 11 in math and reading. For elementary and middle schools, growth is measured in grades 4-8, also in math and reading.

The state will include an extended-year graduation rate in addition to the 4-year rate. The extended year rate will include 5-, 6-, and 7-year graduation rates.

The state will include an equity indicator for grades 3-8 that will measure the academic growth of all students who do not score proficient in math and English Language Arts. These students will count in a consolidated subgroup in the subject/s in which they did not score proficient. A school's equity score is based on the median growth of the consolidated group.

High schools' post-secondary readiness indicator will be the percentage of each school's 12th grade students who meet the state's definition of post-secondary readiness based on one of the options put forth by the state.

- Option 1: Completion of a college success curriculum and one or more of the following: a college-ready score on a standardized college entrance exam, OR eligibility to earn college credits through AP, IB, or dual/concurrent enrollment courses.
- Option 2: Completion of a CTE pathway (with a minimum of a 3 course sequence) and one or more of the following: a passing score on a CTE exam OR industry-recognized certification.
- Option 3: Completion of a college success curriculum or a CTE pathway, and a military readiness score on the ASVAB.

Supporting Excellent Educators

The state plans to support school districts in developing a career pathway program focused on teaching and education as a way to boost the numbers of students entering into teacher preparation programs in Wyoming. Students would experience early exposure to the education field and cultivate their interest in becoming an educator. The expectation would be that those students who were interested in continuing their studies in the education field would then enter the College of Education at the University of Wyoming, the state's only university.

Supporting All Students

The state plans to increase enrollment in CTE by providing policies, procedures, guidelines and resources to create more flexibility for innovation within CTE, and to increase awareness of, and

opportunities for career development, exploration, and guidance. The state department's CTE team completes an evaluation of career pathways, establishes an official Wyoming Department of Education liaison for school counselors, develops a Career Development Facilitator Course, and develops marketing strategy which correlates participation in Career Technical Student Organizations with academic success.

The state will use Title IV, Part A and other funds to support the integration of in-person learning with technology to enable real-time data use, personalized instruction, and competency-based progression. The initiatives seek to enable improved student and educator access to high-quality new learning models, supported by technology, that prepare them for student and school success. Some examples include:

- a. Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment – to engage students in 21st Century, personalized, technology-enabled, deeper learning, it is critical for schools and districts to ensure curriculum, instruction, and assessment are tightly aligned.
- b. Use of Space and Time – Student-centric learning requires changes in the way instructional time is used and the learning space is designed.
- c. Robust Infrastructure – When employed as part of a comprehensive education strategy, the effective use of school library systems or technology provides tools, resources, data, and supportive systems that increase teaching opportunities and promote efficiency.
- d. Data and Privacy – Data privacy and security are foundational elements of digital learning.
- e. Community Partnerships – Community partnerships include the formal and informal local and global community connections, collaborative projects, and relationships that advance the school's learning goals.
- f. Personalized Professional Learning – In districts and schools that prepare students for the digital age, technology and digital learning expand access to high-quality, ongoing, job-embedded opportunities for professional learning for teachers, administrators, and other education professionals.
- g. Budget and Resources – The transition to digital learning will require strategic short-term and long-term budgeting and leveraging of resources.

Learn More

Find more information on Wyoming's ESSA-related work, and a link to the most up-to-date state plan, at <https://edu.wyoming.gov/educators/accountability/federal-school-accountability/>.